



# 2019 Cornell Pest Management Guidelines for Commercial Tree Fruit Production

Cornell Cooperative Extension

---

Additional information available at the Cornell fruit homepage: [www.fruit.cornell.edu](http://www.fruit.cornell.edu)

*These guidelines are not a substitute for pesticide labeling. Always read and understand the product label before using any pesticide.*

# 2019 Cornell Pest Management Guidelines for Commercial Tree Fruit Production

---

## **Authors**

Arthur Agnello (Department of Entomology, Geneva, NY; *Editor, insect and mite management, characteristics of crop protectants, general pest management*)

Bryan Brown (New York State Integrated Pest Management Program, Geneva, NY; *weed management*)

Julie Carroll (NYS IPM Program, Geneva, NY; *integrated pest management*)

Lailiang Cheng (Section of Horticulture, Ithaca, NY; *nutrient management*)

Kerik Cox (Section of Plant Pathology and Plant-Microbe Biology, Geneva, NY; *disease management, characteristics of crop protectants, general pest management*)

Paul Curtis (Department of Natural Resources, Ithaca, NY; *wildlife damage control*)

Michael Helms, (Pesticide Management Education Program, Ithaca, NY; *pesticide information*)

David Kain (Department of Entomology, Geneva, NY; *insect and mite management*)

Terence Robinson (Section of Horticulture, Geneva, NY; *growth regulators, nutrient management*)

## **Special Appreciation**

Special appreciation is extended to Robin Bellinder, Deborah Breth, and Andrew Landers for their contributions to this publication. Additionally, the authors acknowledge the helpful assistance of the research staff of Cornell University at Geneva and Ithaca, as well as county fruit extension specialists and educators in preparing these guidelines.

Every effort has been made to provide correct, complete, and up-to-date pest management information for New York State at the time this publication was released for printing (November, 2018). Changes in pesticide registrations, regulations, and guidelines occurring after publication are available in county Cornell Cooperative Extension offices or from the Pesticide Management Education Program web site ([pmep.cce.cornell.edu](http://pmep.cce.cornell.edu)).

Trade names used in this publication are for convenience only. No endorsement of products is intended, nor is criticism of unnamed products implied.

**These guidelines are not a substitute for pesticide labeling. Always read and understand the product label before using any pesticide.**

The guidelines in this bulletin reflect the current (and past) authors' best effort to interpret a complex body of scientific research, and to translate this into practical management options. Following the guidance provided in this bulletin does not assure compliance with any applicable law, rule, regulation or standard, or the achievement of particular discharge levels from agricultural land.

---

**Cover photo:** Hail netting system for high value apple cultivars in Western NY. (Photo: Peter Jentsch, Cornell University Hudson Valley Research Laboratory, Highland, NY.)

<b>ORGANIZATION OF THIS PUBLICATION.....</b>	<b>IX</b>
<b>1 INTEGRATED CROP AND PEST MANAGEMENT .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background .....	1
1.2 Practicing IPM .....	1
1.3 IPM Components .....	1
1.4 IPM Tactics .....	2
<b>2 ORGANIC TREE FRUIT PRODUCTION IN NEW YORK STATE .....</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 Introduction .....	3
2.2 Fungicide Options in Organic Tree Fruit Production.....	3
2.3 Arthropod Management Options in Organic Tree Fruit Production.....	4
2.4 Site Management Considerations .....	4
2.5 Weed Control .....	4
2.6 Fruit Thinning .....	4
2.7 Summary .....	5
2.8 References.....	5
<b>3 PESTICIDE INFORMATION.....</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1 Pesticide Classification and Certification.....	6
3.2 Use Pesticides Safely .....	6
3.3 Pollinator Protection.....	7
3.4 New York State Pesticide Use Restrictions.....	8
3.5 Verifying Pesticide Registration and Restricted-Use Status .....	8
3.6 Check Label for Site and Pest .....	8
3.7 Pesticide Recordkeeping/Reporting .....	8
3.8 EPA Worker Protection Standard (WPS) for Agricultural Pesticides.....	9
3.9 Reduced-risk Pesticides, Minimum-risk Pesticides, and Biopesticides .....	9
3.10 FIFRA 2(ee) Recommendations.....	10
<b>4 SPRAYER INFORMATION .....</b>	<b>11</b>
4.1 Solutions For Safer Spraying .....	11
4.1.1 Reducing Risk of Pesticide Exposure Through Use Of Engineering Controls .....	11
4.1.2 Areas of Potential Contamination .....	11
4.2 Minimizing Pesticide Drift in Orchards Part I .....	12
4.2.1 Drift Factors .....	12
4.3 Minimizing Pesticide Drift in Orchards Part II .....	14
4.3.1 Before Spraying: .....	14
4.3.2 During Spraying:.....	14
4.3.3 A Case Study.....	14
4.3.4 Conclusion .....	15
4.4 Preparing the Air Blast Sprayer for Work.....	16
4.4.1 Checking the Sprayer .....	16
4.4.2 Fitting the Sprayer to the Tractor .....	16
4.4.3 Checking the Operation of the Sprayer .....	16
4.4.4 Pre-Season Maintenance .....	16
4.4.5 Sprayer Calibration .....	17
4.4.6 Dilute Spraying .....	17
4.4.7 Concentrate Spraying.....	17
Table 4.4.1. Gallonage of dilute spray per acre required to provide equivalent coverage for mature trees of different sizes and spacings. ....	18
4.5 Rate of Output (GPM).....	18
Table 4.5.1. Approximate time required to spray 1 acre of orchard (two-sided sprayer operation, spraying both sides of trees).....	18
4.6 Tree Row Volume .....	19
4.6.1 Dilute Applications .....	19
4.6.2 Concentrate Applications (Low Volume Application).....	19
4.7 Nozzles on the Net .....	19
4.8 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue – Airblast sprayers .....	20
4.9 Calibrating Airblast Sprayers .....	22

4.9.1 Air Blast Sprayer Calibration (Use Clean Water).....	22
4.9.2 Calibrating a Kinkelder Sprayer .....	23
4.9.3 Calibrating an Agtec Sprayer (Use Clean Water) .....	23
4.10 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue – Boom Sprayers .....	23
4.11 Boom Sprayer Calibration (Use Clean Water).....	25
4.12 Going Spraying!.....	26
4.13 Equipment for Weed Control in Orchards .....	29
4.13.1 Boom Applicators .....	29
4.13.2 Conventional Flat Fan Nozzles .....	29
4.13.3 Pre-orifice Flat Fan Nozzles .....	29
4.13.4 Turbo-Teejet .....	29
4.13.5 Air Induction Nozzles.....	29
4.13.6 Sensor-Controlled Applicators.....	29
4.13.7 Controlled Droplet Applicators (CDA).....	30
4.13.8 Flame Applicators.....	30
4.13.9 Where to Look/Buy Equipment and Nozzles.....	30
4.14 Decontaminating and Storing Crop Sprayers.....	31
Table 7.9.1. Quantity and Location of Chemical Remnants in Crop Sprayers ( <i>in gallons</i> ).....	31
4.14.1 Reducing Cleaning Problems.....	32
4.14.2 Sprayer Cleansers .....	32
4.14.3 Tank Rinse Systems (Low-Volume Tank Rinsing) .....	32
4.14.4 Cleaning the Sprayer.....	32
4.14.5 Tank Rinse Nozzle Suppliers.....	34
4.14.6 Disposal of Pesticide Waste.....	34
4.14.7 Mechanical Maintenance .....	34
4.14.8 Storage of Sprayers.....	34
4.14.9 References.....	34
4.15 Distance Learning .....	35
<b>5 CHARACTERISTICS OF CROP PROTECTANTS USED ON TREE FRUITS .....</b>	<b>36</b>
5.1 Cross Reference of Chemical vs. Trade Names of Pesticides.....	36
5.1.1 By Common Name .....	36
5.1.2 By Trade Name.....	37
5.2 Fungicides.....	39
5.3 Bactericides.....	44
5.4 Other Materials .....	45
5.5 Insecticides.....	46
5.5.1 Organophosphates.....	46
5.5.2 Carbamates .....	47
5.5.3 Pyrethroids.....	47
5.5.4 Neonicotinoids .....	48
5.5.5 Pre-Mixes.....	48
5.5.6 Other Materials .....	50
5.6 Acaricides .....	53
Table 5.6.1. Optimum properties of representative narrow-range oils available in the US <sup>1</sup> .....	54
5.7 Fumigants and Nematicides for Tree Fruits.....	55
Table 5.7.1. Preplant nematicidal and broad-spectrum soil fumigants .....	56
Table 5.7.2. Post-plant nematicides .....	56
<b>6 DISEASE MANAGEMENT .....</b>	<b>57</b>
6.1 Apple Scab Fungicides .....	57
Table 6.1.2. Relative toxicity of apple fungicides to beneficials .....	58
6.2 Notes on Apple Scab Management.....	61
6.2.1 Implications of Inoculum Dose.....	61
6.2.2 Orchard Sanitation for High-inoculum Orchards.....	61
6.2.3 Options for Low-inoculum Orchards.....	61
6.2.4 Determining Scab Infection Periods .....	61
6.2.5 Day vs. Night Release of Ascospores .....	61
6.2.6 Seasonal Ascospore Maturity and Discharge.....	62
6.3 Cedar Apple Rust Management .....	62

6.3.1 Determining Cedar Apple Rust Infection Periods.....	62
6.4 Stone Fruit Fungicides .....	63
6.4.1 Characteristics of Stone Fruit Fungicides .....	63
6.5. Cherry Leaf Spot.....	63
6.5.1 Determining Cherry Leaf Spot Infection Periods.....	63
Table 6.4.1. Activity spectrum of stone fruit fungicides.....	63
<b>7 INSECT AND MITE MANAGEMENT.....</b>	<b>65</b>
Table 7.1.1. Activity spectrum of pome fruit insecticides and acaricides.....	65
Table 7.1.2. Relative toxicity of pome fruit insecticides and acaricides to beneficials.....	67
Table 7.1.2. Relative toxicity of pome fruit insecticides and acaricides to beneficials.....	68
Table 7.1.3. Activity spectrum of stone fruit insecticides.....	68
Table 7.1.4. Degree-day accumulations (from Jan. 1) corresponding to selected fruit phenology and arthropod pest events.....	70
Figure 7.1.1. STLM Pink Sampling Form .....	72
Figure 7.1.2. Obliquebanded Leafroller Sampling Form .....	73
Figure 7.1.3. STLM Petal Fall Sampling Form.....	74
Figure 7.1.4. Mite Sampling Chart – Threshold = 2.5 mites/leaf (June 1-30).....	75
Figure 7.1.5. Mite Sampling Chart – Threshold = 5.0 mites/leaf (July 1-31) .....	76
Figure 7.1.6. Mite Sampling Chart – Threshold = 7.5 Mites/leaf (August 1-15).....	77
Figure 7.1.7. STLM Summer Sampling Form .....	78
Figure 7.1.8. Apple Maggot Monitoring Form .....	79
Figure 7.1.9. Apple Events Calendar for the Lake Plains Area of New York.....	80
Figure 7.1.10. Pear Events Calendar for the Lake Plains Area of New York .....	81
Figure 7.1.11. Cherry Events Calendar for the Lake Plains Area of New York .....	82
Figure 7.1.12. Peach Events Calendar for the Lake Plains Area of New York.....	83
Figure 7.1.13. Prune and Plum Events Calendar for the Lake Plains Area of New York.....	84
<b>8 WEED MANAGEMENT.....</b>	<b>85</b>
8.1 Calibration to Ensure Correct Herbicide Rate.....	85
8.2 Groundcover Management.....	86
8.3 Herbicides and Their Use.....	87
8.4 Herbicides for Tree Fruits .....	90
Table 8.4.1. Minimum time between planting and herbicide use.....	94
Table 8.4.2. Effectiveness of herbicides in tree fruit crops.....	94
Table 8.4.3. Weed control guidelines for tree fruit.....	95
<b>9 WILDLIFE DAMAGE MANAGEMENT .....</b>	<b>102</b>
9.1 Deer and Rabbits.....	102
9.2 Meadow and Pine Voles.....	102
9.3 Woodchucks.....	103
9.4 Beavers.....	104
<b>10 NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT OF APPLE ORCHARDS.....</b>	<b>105</b>
10.1 Introduction.....	105
10.2 Nitrogen .....	105
10.3 Soil Analysis .....	105
10.4 Preplant Soil Preparation.....	105
Table 10.4.1. Soil management groups.....	105
Table 10.4.2. Tons of 100% ENV lime per acre required to increase pH to 7.0 for topsoil (0 to 8 inches).....	106
Table 10.4.3. Tons of 100% ENV lime per acre required to increase pH to 6.5 for subsoil (8 to 16 inches) .....	106
Table 10.4.5. Approximate levels of Calcium, Magnesium, and Potassium for topsoil (0 to 8 inch) and subsoil (8 to 16 inch) of different soil management groups.....	107
Table 10.4.6. Soil test phosphorus values and rates of phosphate (P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) application for orchards .....	107
Table 10.4.7. Boron soil test levels for soils with different textures .....	107
10.5 Fertilization Program for Young Trees .....	108
10.6 Fertilization Program for Established Orchards .....	108
Table 10.6.1. Leaf analysis standards for tree fruits (dry weight basis).....	108
10.6.1. Maintenance Program .....	109
10.6.2 Corrective Program.....	109
10.6.3 Special Considerations in Foliar Application of Nutrients.....	110

10.6.4 Characteristics of Commonly Available Fertilizers.....	110
Table 10.6.2. Nitrogen fertilizers.....	110
Table 10.6.3. Phosphorus fertilizers.....	110
Table 10.6.4. Potassium fertilizers.....	111
Table 10.6.5. Boron fertilizers <sup>1</sup> .....	111
Table 10.6.6. Miscellaneous fertilizers.....	111
<b>11 APPLES.....</b>	<b>113</b>
11.1 Insecticides and Fungicides for Apples.....	113
Table 11.1.1 Pesticide Spray Table – Apples.....	113
11.2 Apple Disease Notes.....	149
11.2.1 Apple Scab.....	149
11.2.2 Black Rot & White Rot.....	150
11.2.3 Blister Spot.....	151
11.2.4 Blossom End Rot.....	151
11.2.5 Cedar Apple Rust.....	151
11.2.6 Crown Rot (Collar Rot).....	151
11.2.7 Fire Blight.....	152
11.2.8 Phytophthora Rots.....	154
11.2.9 Powdery Mildew.....	155
11.2.10 Sooty Blotch And Fly Speck.....	155
11.3 Apple Insect and Mite Notes.....	156
11.3.1 American Plum Borer.....	156
11.3.2 Apple Aphid, Spirea Aphid.....	156
11.3.3 Apple Maggot.....	156
11.3.4 Apple Rust Mite.....	156
11.3.5 Black Stem Borer.....	156
11.3.6 Climbing Cutworms: Darksided, Dingy, Mottled, Spotted, Variegated.....	157
11.3.7 Codling Moth.....	157
11.3.8 Comstock Mealybug.....	158
11.3.9 Dogwood Borer.....	158
11.3.10 European Apple Sawfly.....	159
11.3.11 European Corn Borer.....	159
11.3.12 European Fruit Lecanium.....	159
11.3.13 European Red Mite.....	159
11.3.14 Green Fruitworms.....	160
11.3.15 Japanese Beetle.....	161
11.3.16 Lesser Appleworm.....	161
11.3.17 Mullein Plant Bug.....	161
11.3.18 Obliquebanded Leafroller.....	162
11.3.19 Oriental Fruit Moth.....	162
11.3.20 Oystershell Scale.....	163
11.3.21 Plum Curculio.....	163
11.3.22 Redbanded Leafroller.....	164
11.3.23 Rosy Apple Aphid.....	164
11.3.24 San Jose Scale.....	164
11.3.25 Spotted Lanternfly.....	165
11.3.26 Spotted Tentiform Leafminer, Apple Blotch Leafminer.....	165
11.3.27 Spotted Wing Drosophila.....	166
11.3.28 Stink Bugs (including Brown Marmorated Stink Bug).....	166
11.3.29 Tarnished Plant Bug.....	166
11.3.30 Twospotted spider mite.....	167
11.3.31 Variegated Leafroller, Sparganothis Fruitworm.....	167
11.3.32 White Apple Leafhopper, Potato Leafhopper.....	167
11.3.33 White "Peach" (Prunicola) Scale.....	167
11.3.34 Woolly Apple Aphid.....	168
11.4 Storage Disorders.....	168
11.4.1 Storage Rots.....	168
11.4.2 Storage Scald.....	168

11.4.3 Senescent Breakdown (McIntosh) .....	168
Table 11.5.1 Recommended diphenylamine concentrations for varieties in New York subject to scald.....	169
11.5 Notes on Scald Control .....	169
11.5.1 Materials .....	169
11.5.2 Application Equipment .....	169
11.5.3 Variety Requirements.....	169
11.6 Growth Regulator Use In Apples .....	169
11.6.1 Chemical Thinning.....	169
11.6.2 Weather Factors That Affect Thinning Response .....	169
11.6.3 Tree Factors That Affect Thinning Response .....	170
11.6.4 Chemicals Registered for Thinning in New York.....	171
11.6.5 Chemicals Not Registered for Thinning that Influence Cropload.....	172
11.6.6 Spray Timings.....	172
11.6.7 Suggested Strategies for New York Growers.....	173
11.6.8 Thinning without Carbaryl.....	173
11.6.9 Summary .....	174
Table 11.6.1. Chemicals registered for use in apple thinning in New York.....	174
Table 11.6.2. Recommendations for thinning specific apple varieties in New York.....	175
Table 11.6.3. Conversion of ppm Maxcel or RiteWay BA thinners to fluid ounces for various TRV gallonages.....	178
Table 11.6.4. Conversion of ppm Exilis 9.5 SC to fluid ounces for various TRV gallonages.....	178
11.7 Other Growth Regulator Uses In Apples.....	180
11.7.1 Growth Regulator Chemicals Registered in New York .....	180
<b>12 PEARS.....</b>	<b>185</b>
12.1 Insecticides and Fungicides for Pears.....	185
Table 12.1.1 Pesticide Spray Table – Pears. ....	185
12.2 Pear Disease Notes .....	199
12.2.1 Crown Rot.....	199
12.2.2 Fabraea Leaf Spot .....	199
12.2.3 Fire Blight .....	199
12.2.4 Pear Scab.....	200
12.2.5 Phytophthora Rots.....	201
12.3 Pear Insect and Mite Notes.....	201
12.3.1 Apple Aphid, Spirea Aphid.....	201
12.3.2 Codling Moth .....	201
12.3.3 Comstock Mealybug .....	201
12.3.4 European Red Mite .....	202
12.3.5 Green Fruitworms .....	202
12.3.6 Obliquebanded Leafroller .....	202
12.3.7 Pear Midge .....	203
12.3.8 Pear Psylla.....	203
12.3.9 Pear Rust Mite.....	204
12.3.10 Pearleaf Blister Mite .....	204
12.3.11 Plum Curculio .....	204
12.3.12 Redbanded Leafroller.....	204
12.3.13 San Jose scale.....	205
12.3.14 Spotted Lanternfly.....	205
12.3.15 Spotted Wing Drosophila.....	205
12.3.16 Stink Bugs (incl. Brown Marmorated Stink Bug).....	205
12.3.17 Tarnished Plant Bug, Pear Plant Bug .....	206
12.3.18 Twospotted spider mite .....	206
12.3.19 White "Peach" (Prunicola) Scale.....	206
12.4 Growth Regulation of Pears .....	207
Table 12.4.1. Growth Regulator Uses in Pears. ....	207
<b>13 CHERRIES.....</b>	<b>210</b>
13.1 Insecticides and Fungicides for Cherries.....	210
Table 13.1.1 Pesticide Spray Table – Cherries. ....	210
13.2 Cherry Disease Notes .....	224
13.2.1 Bacterial Canker ( <i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> ).....	224

13.2.2 Black Knot .....	224
13.2.3 Brown Rot (Blossom & Shoot Blight) .....	224
13.2.4 Leaf Spot .....	224
13.2.5 Phytophthora Rots .....	225
13.2.6 Powdery Mildew .....	225
13.3 Cherry Insect and Mite Notes .....	225
13.3.1 American Plum Borer .....	225
13.3.2 Black Cherry Aphid .....	225
13.3.3 Black Cherry Fruit Fly/Cherry Fruit Fly .....	226
13.3.4 European Fruit Lecanium .....	226
13.3.5 European Red Mite .....	226
13.3.6 Japanese Beetle .....	226
13.3.7 Lesser Peachtree Borer .....	227
13.3.8 Obliquebanded Leafroller .....	227
13.3.9 Plum Curculio .....	228
13.3.10 San Jose Scale .....	228
13.3.11 Spotted Lanternfly .....	228
13.3.12 Spotted Wing Drosophila .....	228
13.3.13 Stink Bugs (incl Brown Marmorated Stink Bug) .....	229
13.3.14 Twospotted spider mite .....	229
13.3.15 White "Peach" (Prunicola) Scale .....	229
13.4 Storage Rot Notes .....	230
13.5 Growth Regulation of Cherries .....	230
Table 13.5.1. Growth Regulator Uses in Cherries .....	230
<b>14 PEACHES AND NECTARINES .....</b>	<b>232</b>
14.1 Insecticides and Fungicides for Peaches and Nectarines .....	232
Table 14.1.1 Pesticide Spray Table – Peaches and Nectarines .....	232
14.2 Peach and Nectarine Disease Notes .....	246
14.2.1 Bacterial Spot .....	246
14.2.2 Blossom Rot .....	246
14.2.3 Brown Rot .....	247
14.2.4 Peach Leaf Curl .....	247
14.2.5 Peach Scab .....	247
14.2.6 Phytophthora Rots .....	248
14.3 Peach and Nectarine Insect and Mite Notes .....	248
14.3.1 American Plum Borer .....	248
14.3.2 European Fruit Lecanium .....	248
14.3.3 European Red Mite .....	248
14.3.4 Green Peach Aphid .....	249
14.3.5 Japanese Beetle .....	249
14.3.6 Lesser Peachtree Borer .....	249
14.3.7 Oriental Fruit Moth .....	250
14.3.8 Peachtree Borer .....	250
14.3.9 Plum Curculio .....	250
14.3.10 San Jose Scale .....	251
14.3.11 Spotted Lanternfly .....	251
14.3.12 Spotted Wing Drosophila .....	251
14.3.13 Stink bugs, incl. Brown Marmorated Stink Bug .....	252
14.3.14 Tarnished plant bug .....	252
14.3.15 Twospotted Spider Mite .....	253
14.3.16 Western Flower Thrips .....	253
14.3.17 White "Peach" (Prunicola) Scale .....	253
14.4 Storage Rots .....	253
14.5 Growth Regulation of Peaches and Nectarines .....	253
Table 14.5.1. Growth Regulator Uses in Peaches and Nectarines .....	253
<b>15 APRICOTS .....</b>	<b>254</b>
15.1 Insecticides and Fungicides for Apricots .....	254
Table 15.1.1 Pesticide Spray Table – Apricots .....	254

15.2	Apricot Disease Notes.....	262
15.2.1	Bacterial Canker.....	262
15.2.2	Brown Rot.....	262
15.2.3	Peach Scab.....	263
15.2.4	Phytophthora Rots.....	263
15.3	Apricot Insect and Mite Notes.....	263
15.3.1	European Fruit Lecanium.....	263
15.3.2	European Red Mite.....	263
15.3.3	Japanese Beetle.....	263
15.3.4	Lesser Peachtree Borer.....	264
15.3.5	Oriental Fruit Moth.....	264
15.3.6	Peachtree Borer.....	264
15.3.7	Plum Curculio.....	264
15.3.8	San Jose Scale.....	265
15.3.9	Spotted Lanternfly.....	265
15.3.10	Spotted Wing Drosophila.....	265
15.3.11	Stink Bugs, incl. Brown Marmorated Stink Bug.....	266
15.3.12	Tarnished Plant Bug.....	266
15.3.13	Twospotted Spider Mite.....	266
15.3.14	Western Flower Thrips.....	267
15.3.15	White "Peach" (Prunicola) Scale.....	267
15.4	Storage Rot Notes.....	267
15.5	Growth Regulation of Apricots.....	267
<b>16</b>	<b>PLUMS AND PRUNES.....</b>	<b>269</b>
16.1	Plum and Prune Spray Table.....	269
	Table 16.1.1 Pesticide Spray Table – Plums and Prunes.....	269
16.2	Plum and Prune Disease Notes.....	276
16.2.1	Black Knot.....	276
16.2.2	Brown Rot.....	276
16.2.3	Peach Scab.....	277
16.2.4	Phytophthora Rots.....	277
16.3	Plum and Prune Insect and Mite Notes.....	277
16.3.1	American Plum Borer.....	277
16.3.2	Apple Maggot.....	277
16.3.3	European Fruit Lecanium.....	277
16.3.4	European Red Mite.....	278
16.3.5	Japanese Beetle.....	278
16.3.6	Lesser Peachtree Borer.....	278
16.3.7	Oriental Fruit Moth.....	278
16.3.8	Peachtree Borer.....	279
16.3.9	Plum Curculio.....	279
16.3.10	Redbanded Leafroller.....	279
16.3.11	San Jose Scale.....	280
16.3.12	Spotted Lanternfly.....	280
16.3.13	Spotted Wing Drosophila.....	280
16.3.14	Stink Bugs, incl. Brown Marmorated Stink Bug.....	280
16.3.15	Twospotted Spider Mite.....	281
16.3.16	White "Peach" (Prunicola) Scale.....	281
16.4	Storage Rots.....	281
16.5	Growth Regulation of Plums and Prunes.....	282
<b>17</b>	<b>APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>283</b>
17.1	Pesticide Data.....	283
	Table 17.1.1 Common names, product names, formulations, and days-to-harvest for insecticides, acaricides, fungicides, and bactericides used on tree fruits.....	283
	Table 17.1.2. Common names, product names, formulations, and days-to-harvest for growth regulators.....	287
17.2	EPA numbers and worker protection standard re-entry and personal protective equipment (PPE) guidelines.....	288
17.3	Spray Mixture Compatibility Notes.....	293
17.3.1	Suggested Mixing Sequence.....	293

17.4. Tree Fruit Reference Materials.....	294
17.4.1 Tree Fruit IPM Fact Sheets.....	294
17.4.2 Other References.....	295
17.4.3 Websites.....	296
17.5 Cornell Diagnostic and Analytical Services.....	297
17.6 County and Regional Extension Tree Fruit Specialists In New York.....	298
17.7 Campus-Based Extension Faculty and Staff.....	299
17.8 Abbreviations and Symbols Used in This Publication.....	301

# 1 Integrated Crop and Pest Management

## 1.1 Background

Cornell University and Cornell Cooperative Extension actively promote the use of Integrated Crop and Pest Management (IPM) by New York growers in order to address agricultural concerns. In many areas of New York State, there are horticultural, economic, social, and political pressures to reduce the environmental impact and use of pesticides in crop production. Public concerns with nutrient and sediment movement into ground and surface water and pressure against pesticide applications is growing. In other regions, agricultural producers are being asked to submit nutrient and soil management plans to address the offsite impacts of their practices. In addition, the development of pesticide resistance in key pests; registration of fewer and more expensive new chemicals for pest control; loss of existing products; and increased competition from other regions continue to push New York agriculture to look for nonchemical alternatives.

IPM requires a combination of long and short term production strategies to maximize net profit while minimizing risks of undesirable environmental impacts of practices. Some of these practices include site selection, crop-specific production strategies, nutrient management, and cover cropping. IPM is a pest control strategy that promotes the use of a variety of tactics including pest-resistant cultivars and biological, cultural, and physical controls. Pesticides are a control tactic employed in IPM, but they are used preferably only when needed. Pesticide use is thus minimized without jeopardizing crop quality or yield. Applying multiple control tactics minimizes the chance that pests will adapt to any one tactic and allows growers to choose the most environmentally sound, efficacious, and economically efficient pest management program for their situation.

This manual provides information and references that will allow New York fruit growers to practice IPM for many of their crops. While information for the proper use of pesticides is a main component of this manual, other information is contained that can help growers reduce their reliance on pesticides and take advantage of alternatives to pesticides that may be less expensive, less environmentally harmful, and more acceptable to the non-agricultural community.

## 1.2 Practicing IPM

In an IPM program, it is important to accurately identify the pests (vertebrates, diseases, insects, and weeds) and assess pest abundance. See the listing (at the end of this publication) of laboratories at Cornell that do pest and disease diagnosis and soil and tissue analysis for assistance in maintaining crop health and nutrition. It is important to have knowledge of the biology and ecology of the pest(s) attacking the crop and the factors that can influence pest

infestations. An understanding of the influence of factors such as weather and natural enemies on pest abundance will aid the choice of management tactics. IPM programs stress suppression of insect and disease populations to levels that do not cause economic damage, rather than total eradication of a pest. In the case of insect pests, it may be important to have at least some pests present to ensure that natural enemies will remain in the crop to suppress subsequent infestations.

## 1.3 IPM Components

### 1.3.1 Monitoring (Scouting)

Scouting includes detecting, identifying, and determining the level of pest populations on a timely basis. Insect traps can often be used to detect pests and identify times when scouting should be intensified or control measures should be taken. Monitoring individual orchard blocks throughout the season is the most effective way of assessing the insect, disease, and weed situation and, therefore, the need for chemical treatment in that block. Scientifically based, accurate, and efficient monitoring methods are available for many pests on fruit crops in New York. Brief descriptions of the recommended techniques are given in this manual.

### 1.3.2 Forecasting

Weather data and other information helps predict when specific pests will most likely occur. Weather-based pest forecast models for diseases and insects of many crops have been developed in New York. This information will be referred to for the pests that have such models available. Weather forecasts are available through the NYS IPM Program's Network for Environment and Weather Awareness (NEWA) on a daily basis.

Access to a computer network to obtain weather, regional insect, and disease forecasts is useful but not essential. NEWA provides automated local weather information and the results of pest forecasts on a daily basis. Access NEWA online at [newa.nysaes.cornell.edu](http://newa.nysaes.cornell.edu). Simple weather recording equipment such as thermometers, hygrometers, and rain gauges placed in orchards will assist the prediction of pest outbreaks. Information on the potential for pest outbreaks generally can also be obtained from local Cooperative Extension offices, newsletters, and regional crop advisors.

### 1.3.3 Thresholds

Use thresholds to determine when pest populations have reached a level that could cause economic damage. Thresholds have been scientifically determined by Cornell researchers. Following the thresholds indicated in this manual has reduced pesticide use by as much as 50%, saving significant money for growers. The term *suggested*

## 2 Organic Tree Fruit Production in New York State

### 2.1 Introduction

A large number of both native and introduced pest species attack apples and other tree fruits grown in commercial orchards. Control of this pest complex is particularly challenging in N.Y., because unlike more arid production regions in the country, fruit orchards in N.Y. are commonly in close proximity to semi-wooded areas with an abundance of naturalized and wild host species that can harbor populations of certain tree fruit pests. Traditionally, conventional fruit orchards in N.Y. have been treated heavily with pesticides to control this extensive pest complex.

In the past, very few growers in the northeast have attempted to produce apples and other tree fruits organically because of the practical difficulties involved in controlling pests in this region without using conventional, broad-spectrum pesticides. However, during the last 10-15 years, studies have been conducted to develop management programs that may be able to replace current strategies that rely primarily on these pesticide applications. For example, recent studies have shown that the predaceous mite, *Typhlodromus pyri*, which is native to apple production regions in western N.Y., can successfully control populations of the key mite pest, European red mite, in commercial apple orchards so that no applications of miticides are required for seasonal control. Recent research in N.Y. and elsewhere has also shown that pheromones can be deployed in orchards to disrupt mating of key lepidopteran species such as oriental fruit moth, and borer species, and substantially reduce fruit damage from this complex of pests. In addition to some of these newer types of organically compatible pest control technologies, traditional control methods such as selective fruit thinning, pruning, sanitation (frequent removal of dropped fruit infested or infected with pests), removal of wild hosts near commercial plantings, and exclusion of pests with biological or physical barriers near or around trees, have also been shown to reduce populations of many types of pests in fruit plantings in this region.

Ideally, organic fruit production is the synthesis of an entire suite of practices intended to take advantage of natural ecosystem interactions and minimize synthetic inputs. Such a system might start with the selection of disease-resistant cultivars, to circumvent the need for the majority of normal disease sprays. This one tactic could eliminate or substantially reduce the need to manage apple scab, powdery mildew, cedar apple rust, and fire blight (Ellis et al., 1998). In lieu of resistance, a combined strategy of orchard sanitation and frequent applications of elemental sulfur and copper throughout most of the season would be the next practical alternative.

### 2.2 Fungicide Options in Organic Tree Fruit Production

Organic approved fungicides and bactericides are often not as effective and the convention fungicides and antibiotics in temperate apple production regions such as NY and New England. In recent years, organic copper and sulfur products, and biopesticide products have greatly improved in terms of formulation and efficacy. In drier seasons and against lower disease pressure situations (e.g. low level of inoculum & less susceptible cultures), organic-approved products can provide a level of control comparable to conventional products. That being said, organic-approved products may need to be applied at higher rates and frequencies to match the activity of convention products. In field trials conducted at Cornell and other regional institutions, applied plant pathologists are achieving greater success in managing fire blight and summer diseases with biopesticides based on *Bacillus subtilis*, *B. amyloliquefaciens*, *B. mycooides*, and *Reynoutria sachalinensis*, and new low MCE copper products formulated to reduce risk of phytotoxicity. In many instances, biopesticides and organic copper and sulfur products are being used in conventional production as means of resistance management or to avoid exceeding seasonal tolerances for key conventional fungicides. Biopesticides based on natural oils, such as white mineral oil or oil of thyme have similar potential for controlling fungal and bacteria diseases, but the use of oils complicates the use of other agrichemicals as oils act as intensifiers and could lead to problems with phytotoxicity in tank mixes. Biopesticides based on potassium bicarbonate and peroxides have utility against fungal diseases, particularly, powdery mildew and sooty blotch fly speck. However, these would be need to be applied every 3-5 days or at each wetting event for maximum efficacy. Phosphorous acid fungicides are biopesticides and can be fairly effective against fire blight, powdery mildew, and flyspeck sooty blotch when applied at model recommendations and short intervals (e.g. 3-7 days). However, these products are not approved for organic agriculture. Additional biopesticides and organically approved copper and sulfur products are being developed and improved every year. While some of these products have been evaluated, many are either not commercially available or have yet to be thoroughly evaluated by multiple experts in the region. Products designated with the section symbol "§" indicate that they are suitable for organic production. A provisional program for managing the major diseases of apples covering might resemble:

- **Apple scab** [silver tip through midsummer] – copper [silver tip & green tip]; sulfur, *Bacillus sp*, potassium bicarbonate, and peroxides [tight cluster to midsummer]

## 3 Pesticide Information

### 3.1 Pesticide Classification and Certification

The Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) created two classifications of pesticides – general-use and restricted-use. **General-use pesticides** may be purchased and used by anyone. **Restricted-use pesticides can** only be purchased by a certified applicator. Restricted-use pesticides must also be used by a certified applicator or someone under their supervision.

The same federal law that classifies pesticides divided applicators into two groups: private and commercial. **Private applicators** use or supervise the use of pesticides to produce agricultural commodities or forest crops on land owned or rented by the private applicator or their employer. A farmer must be certified as a private applicator in order to purchase and use restricted-use pesticides on agricultural commodities. (No certification is needed if a farmer does not use restricted-use pesticides.)

A **commercial applicator** uses or supervises the use of pesticides for any purpose or on any property not covered by the private applicator classification. In New York, a commercial applicator must be certified to purchase or use any pesticide whether it is general- or restricted-use.

Information about pesticide certification and classification is available from your Cornell Cooperative Extension office ([cce.cornell.edu/localoffices](http://cce.cornell.edu/localoffices)), regional NYSDEC pesticide specialist ([www.dec.ny.gov/about/558.html](http://www.dec.ny.gov/about/558.html)), the Pesticide Applicator Training Manuals (<https://www.cornellstore.com/books/cornell-cooperative-ext-pmep-manuals>), or the Pesticide Management Education Program (PMEP) at Cornell University ([psep.cce.cornell.edu](http://psep.cce.cornell.edu)).

### 3.2 Use Pesticides Safely

Using pesticides imparts a great responsibility on the user to protect their health and that of others and to protect the environment. Keep in mind there is more to “pesticide use” than the application. Pesticide use includes mixing, loading, transporting, storing, or handling pesticides after the manufacturer’s seal is broken; cleaning pesticide application equipment; and preparing a container for disposal. These activities require thoughtful planning and preparation. They are also regulated by state and federal laws and regulations intended to protect the user, the community, and the environment from any adverse effects pesticides may cause.

#### 3.2.1 Plan Ahead

Many safety precautions should be taken *before* you actually begin using pesticides. Too many pesticide applicators are dangerously and needlessly exposed to pesticides while they are preparing to apply them. Most

pesticide accidents can be prevented with informed and careful practices. **Always read the label on the pesticide container before you begin to use the pesticide.** Make sure you understand and can follow all directions and precautions on the label. Be prepared to handle an emergency exposure or spill. Know the first aid procedures for the pesticides you use.

#### 3.2.2 Move Pesticides Safely

Carelessness in transporting pesticides can result in broken containers, spills, and contamination of people and the environment. Once pesticides are in your possession, you are responsible for safely transporting them. Accidents can occur even when transporting materials a short distance. You are responsible for a pesticide accident so take every effort to transport pesticides safely. Be prepared for an emergency.

#### 3.2.3 Personal Protective Equipment and Engineering Controls

**Personal protective equipment** needs depend on the pesticide being handled. **Required personal protective equipment (PPE) are listed on pesticide labels.** The required PPE are based on the pesticide’s toxicity, route(s) of exposure, and formulation. Label required PPE are the minimum that must be worn during the pesticide’s use. Pesticide users can always wear more protection than the label requires.

The type of protective equipment used depends on the type and duration of the activity, where pesticides are being used, and exposure of the handler. Mixing/loading procedures often require extra precautions. Studies show you are at a greater risk of accidental poisoning when handling pesticide concentrates. Pouring pesticide concentrates from one container to another is the most hazardous activity.

**Engineering controls** are devices that help prevent accidents and reduce a pesticide user’s exposure. One example is a closed mixing/loading system that reduces the risk of exposure when dispensing concentrated pesticides. Consult the product label for more information on using engineering controls in place of PPE.

#### 3.2.4 Avoid Drift, Runoff, and Spills

Pesticides that move out of the target area can injure people, damage crops, and harm the environment. Choose weather conditions, pesticides, application equipment, pressure, droplet size, formulations, and adjuvants that minimize drift and runoff hazards. See product labels for specific application and equipment requirements.

## 4 Sprayer Information

### 4.1 Solutions For Safer Spraying

#### 4.1.1 Reducing Risk of Pesticide Exposure Through Use Of Engineering Controls

Because handling and applying pesticides is risky business, keeping pesticide exposure to a minimum should be a chief concern of any pesticide applicator. To reduce the risks associated with handling and applying pesticides, devices known as engineering controls can be used that help to reduce or practically eliminate exposure to toxic chemicals. This section describes various engineering controls that can help reduce applicator exposure to pesticides in five areas of potential contamination.

#### 4.1.2 Areas of Potential Contamination

##### 1. Loading the Sprayer

**Closed Transfer Systems** – Closed transfer systems allow concentrated pesticide to be moved from the original shipping container to the sprayer mix tank with minimal or no applicator contact. Many systems provide a method to measure the concentrated pesticide. Some systems also include a container rinsing system. Currently available closed transfer systems use a probe inserted into the pesticide container, a connector on the container that mates to a similar connector on the application equipment, or a vacuum-type (venturi) system that uses flowing water to transfer the chemical from the container.

**Induction Bowls** – Induction bowls are metal, plastic or fiberglass hoppers attached to the side of the sprayer or nurse tank that allow pesticides to be added to the mix tank without the applicator climbing onto the spray rig. Pesticides are poured into the bowl and water is added to flush out the bowl and carry the pesticide to the spray tank. Often a rinse nozzle is mounted inside the bowl for rinsing out empty pesticide containers. Typically induction bowls are raised out of the way during spraying and lowered to about 3 feet above ground when loading the sprayer.

**Direct Pesticide Injection System** – Direct pesticide injection systems allow pesticides to be mixed directly with water in the sprayer plumbing system rather than in the main spray tank. The pesticide is pumped from its container and mixed with the water either in a manifold or at the main water pump. Only clean water is held in the main tank of the sprayer. An electronic controller and up to four pumps adjust the amount of concentrated pesticide that is injected into the water stream, allowing for variable application rates.

**Container Rinse System** – Container rinse systems consist of a rinse nozzle and a catch bowl that traps the container washings (rinsate). The empty container is placed over the rinse nozzle and a jet of water cleans the inside of the

container. The rinsate caught in the bowl is pumped into the spray tank to be used along with the spray mixture. Often rinse nozzles are installed in chemical induction bowls. Most closed transfer systems also provide a way of rinsing containers and piping the rinse water into the spray tank.

##### 2. Reducing Contamination at the Boom

**Boom Folding/Extending** – Manually folding booms can be a major source of operator contamination because the boom can be covered with pesticide from drift or dripping nozzles. Consider the use of hydraulic or mechanical folding methods.

**Diaphragm Check Valves** – Typically, when a sprayer is shut off and as the system pressure drops, any liquid remaining in the boom piping drips from the nozzles, possibly dripping onto the boom or even the operator. Diaphragm check valves installed at each nozzle prevent this by using a spring-loaded rubber diaphragm to close off the flow of liquid once the system pressure drops below about 10 pounds per square inch. When the sprayer is switched on and system pressure builds up, the valve opens and allows the liquid to flow through the nozzles.

**Multiple Nozzle Bodies** – Contamination can occur when operators change or unclog nozzles during an application. Multiple nozzle bodies (or turret nozzles) allow operators to switch between nozzles with a turn of the nozzle body rather than having to unscrew or undo a threaded or a bayonet fitting.

**Hand Wash Water Supply** – Providing adequate wash water is essential (and often required). A simple container with a hand-operated valve can be mounted on the side of the sprayer to provide clean water for hand washing and personal hygiene.

##### 3. Protecting from Drift and Contaminated Clothing in Cabs

**Cab Filtration Using Carbon Filters** – Carbon filtration systems are used to remove pesticide odor and pesticide-laden mist from fresh air used in a tractor or self-propelled sprayer cab. Carbon filtration systems are often a standard feature on self-propelled sprayers. Now many factory installed tractor cabs offer optional filtration systems. In 1998, the American Society of Agricultural Engineers (ASAE) adopted testing standards for operator cabs used in pesticide application. Cabs certified under this standard meet the requirements for enclosed cabs contained in the Worker Protection Standard.

**Protective Clothing Lockers** – To prevent contamination of the tractor or sprayer cab interior, entering the cab. A few sprayer companies offer a simple compartment (or

**Table 4.4.1. Gallonage of dilute spray per acre required to provide equivalent coverage for mature trees of different sizes and spacings.**

Distance Between Rows (feet)	Tree Width (feet)	Tree Height (feet)	Dilute spray Per acre <sup>1</sup> (gal/acre)
40	28	20	427
40	28	16	342
30	20	15	305
25	16	14	273
22	14	13	252
20	12	12	220
18	10	12	203
16	8	10	152
14	6	10	131
12	5	10	127
11	4	10	111
10	3	9	82

<sup>1</sup>Minimum dilute gallons per acre = tree width x tree height x linear feet of row per acre (43,560 divided by distance between rows) x approximately 0.7 gallon per 1,000 cu ft of tree volume.

#### 4.4.8 Travel Speed Calibration

Travel speed is a critical factor in maintaining accurate application rates and will influence spray deposition depending on location within the canopy. The slower a sprayer travels, the greater the uniformity in spray deposition. Although there is inconsistency in research results that try to determine the effect of travel speed on average spray deposition, all studies to date have been in agreement that the higher the travel speed, the greater the variability in spray deposit. Variation in spray deposit is an important factor where uniformity of spray coverage throughout the canopy is required. Conclusions from research were drawn using travel speeds of 1-4 mph.

Factors that will affect travel speed include:

- weight of sprayer to be pulled
- slope of terrain
- ground conditions traveled over (wheel slippage!)

The best way to measure travel speed is to pull a sprayer with tank half filled with water on the same type of terrain that the sprayer will be operated on.

Set up test course at least 100 feet long, measure the course with a tape measure. Do not pace the distance. The longer the course the smaller the margin of error. Run the course in both directions.

Use an accurate stop watch to check the time required to travel the course in each direction. Average the two runs and use the following formula to calculate the speed in MPH.

$$\text{Formula: } \text{MPH} = \frac{\text{ft traveled}}{\text{sec traveled}} \times \frac{60}{88}$$

Your figures:

Tractor gear \_\_\_\_\_ Engine revs. \_\_\_\_\_

$$\text{MPH} = \frac{\text{ft traveled}}{\text{sec traveled}} \times \frac{60}{88} = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$$

A modern alternative to using the above method is to purchase a hand-held GPS receiver. A number of systems are available, costing \$80-150 and are available from electronics stores, hunting equipment suppliers and the internet. The small device is portable so can be used in all tractors to determine forward speed in specific tractor gears at known engine r.p.m. They may also be used to measure row length and determine block size.

#### 4.5 Rate of Output (GPM)

The gallons of spray desired per acre and the time required to spray an acre determine the rate of output for which the sprayer must be nozzled. Since volume of spray needed per acre varies with tree size, the most common row-spacing for the tree size to be sprayed should be used in calibrating the sprayer. The gallons of dilute spray required for various row-spacing and tree-size combinations are indicated in Table 4.4.1. Gallons of concentrate spray required is determined by dividing dilute gallonage by the concentration desired. The rate of output by the sprayer is calculated by dividing the gallons of concentrate spray by the time required to spray 1 acre, Table 4.5.1.

**Table 4.5.1. Approximate time required to spray 1 acre of orchard (two-sided sprayer operation, spraying both sides of trees).**

Distance between Rows (feet)	Linear feet of Row/ acre <sup>1</sup>	Travel speed (mph)				
		1	1.5	2	2.5	3
40	1089	12.4	8.2	6.2	5.0	4.1
30	1452	16.5	11.0	8.2	6.6	5.5
25	1742	19.8	12.4	9.9	7.9	6.6
22	1980	22.5	15.0	11.2	9.0	7.5
20	2178	24.8	16.5	12.4	9.9	8.3
18	2420	27.5	18.3	13.8	11.0	9.2
16	2723	30.9	20.6	15.5	12.4	10.3
14	3112	35.4	23.6	17.7	14.1	11.8

<sup>1</sup>Linear feet of row per acre = 43,560 divided by distance between rows.

<sup>2</sup>Minutes per acre = linear feet of row per acre divided by speed in feet per minute. Speed in feet per minute = mph x 88.

#### 4.5.1 Example for Calibrating Rate of Output:

Rows 30 feet apart, trees 20 feet wide x 15 feet high. A 4X concentrate application is to be made at a speed of 2.5 miles per hour.

## 5 Characteristics of Crop Protectants Used on Tree Fruits

### 5.1 Cross Reference of Chemical vs. Trade Names of Pesticides

Key to pesticide type: (A) = Acaricide; (B) = Bactericide; (F) = Fungicide; (I) = Insecticide.

**NOTE:** See Chapter 8 for a discussion of herbicides used in tree fruit.

#### 5.1.1 By Common Name

abamectin/avermectin – (\*†Agri-Flex, \*Agri-Mek)

Syngenta; (\*Abba) Makhteshim; (\*Gladiator) FMC (A,I)

acequinocyl – (Kanemite) Arysta LifeScience (A)

acetamiprid – (Assail) United Phosphorus (I)

azadirachtin – (§Aza-Direct) Gowan; (§Neemix 4.5) Certis (I)

*Bacillus subtilis* – (§Serenade products) Bayer (B, F)

*Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* – (§DoubleNickel products) Certis (B, F)

*Bacillus mycoides* isolate J– (§LifeGuard) Certis (B, F)

bifenazate – (Acramite) Chemtura (A); (Banter) UPI (A)

bifenthrin – (\*Brigade) FMC (I, A)

boscalid + pyraclostrobin – (Pristine) BASF (F)

*Bacillus thuringiensis* (B.t.) – (§Agree) Certis; (§Dipel) Valent BioSciences; (§Deliver) Certis; (§Javelin) Certis (I)

buprofezin – (\*†Centaur) Nichino (I)

*Burkholderia* spp. strain A396 – (§Venerate XC) Marrone Bio Innovations (I)

captan – (Captan) Micro Flo, Drexel, Makhteshim Agan; (Captec) Micro Flo (F)

carbaryl – (Carbaryl) Drexel; (Sevin) TKI (I)

chlorantraniliprole – (\*†Altacor) DuPont; (\*†Voliam Flexi, \*†Beseige) Syngenta (I)

chlorpyrifos – (Lorsban) Dow AgroSciences, Gowan (I)

chlorothalonil – (Bravo) Syngenta; (Echo) Sipcam Agro; (Equus) Makhteshim Agan (F)

*Chromobacterium subsugae* – (§Grandevo) Marrone Bio Innovations (I)

clofentezine – (Apollo) Makhteshim Agan (A)

copper hydroxide – (Kocide, Champ) DuPont, Nufarm Americas (B, F)

copper oxychloride/copper sulfate – (C-O-C-S) Loveland (B, F)

copper oxychloride/ copper hydroxide – (Badge SC, §Badge X2) Gowan (B, F)

copper octanoate – (Cueva) Certis (B, F)

copper sulfate – (Cuprofix Ultra Disperss) United Phosphorus (B, F)

cyantraniliprole – (\*†Exirel) DuPont (I)

cyantraniliprole/abamectin – (\*†Minecto Pro) Syngenta (I)

cyflumetofen – (Nealta) BASF (A)

cyfluthrin – (\*Baythroid, \*Leverage) Bayer (I)

cyprodinil – (Vanguard) Syngenta (F)

diazinon – (\*Diazinon) Makhteshim (I)

difenoconazole + cyprodinil– (Inspire Super) Syngenta (F)

difenoconazole + fludioxonil– (Academy) Syngenta (F)

dimethoate – (\*Dimethoate) Loveland (\*Dimethoate)

Drexel (I)

dodine – (Syllit FL) Agriphar (F)

emamectin benzoate – (\*Proclaim) Syngenta (I)

esfenvalerate – (\*Asana) DuPont (I)

etoxazole – (Zeal) Valent (A)

fenazaquin – (Magister) Gowan (A)

fenbuconazole – (Indar) Dow AgroSciences (F)

fenbutatin-oxide – (\*Vendex) United Phosphorus (A)

fenhexamid – (Elevate) Arvesta (F)

fenpropathrin – (\*Danitol) Valent BioSciences (I)

fenpyroximate – (Portal) Nichino America (A,I)

flonicamid – (Beleaf) FMC (I)

flupyradifurone – (\*†Sivanto Prime) Bayer (I)

flutriafol – (†Topguard) Cheminova (F)

fluopyram + pyrimethanil (\*†Luna Tranquility) Bayer (F)

fluopyram + trifloxystrobin (\*†Luna Sensation) Bayer (F)

fluopyram + tebuconazole (\*†Luna Experience) Bayer (F)

fluxapyroxad (\*†Sercadis) BASF (F)

fluxapyroxad + pyraclostrobin (\*†Merivon) BASF (F)

ferbam – (Ferbam Granuflo) Taminco (F)

fludioxonil – (Scholar) Syngenta (F)

fosetyl-Al – (Aliette) Bayer (F)

hexakis – (\*Vendex) United Phosphorus (I)

hexythiazox – (Savey, Onager) Gowan (A)

hydrogen dioxide – (OxiDate, StorOx) Biosafe Systems (B, F)

imidacloprid – (\*Admire Pro, \*Leverage) Bayer (I)

indoxacarb – (Avaunt) DuPont (I)

insecticidal virus – (§Cyd-X, §Madex) Certis;

(§Carpovirusine) Arysta LifeScience (I)

iprodione – (Rovral) Bayer; (Iprodione) MicroFlo (F)

kaolin – (§Surround) TKI (A,F,I)

kasugamycin – (Kasumin 2L) Arysta LifeScience (B)

kresoxim-methyl – (Sovran) BASF (F)

lambda-cyhalothrin – (\*Lambda-Cy) United Phosphorus; (\*Warrior; \*†Endigo) Syngenta (I)

malathion – (Clean Crop Malathion) Loveland; (Malathion) Drexel; (\*Prentox Malathion) Prentiss (I)

mancozeb – (Dithane) Dow AgroSciences; (Manzate)

DuPont; (Penncozeb) United Phosphorus (F)

maneb – (Manex) Griffin (F)

mefanoxam – (Ridomil Gold) Syngenta (F)

metconazole – (Quash) Valent (F)

methomyl – (\*Lannate) DuPont (I)

methoxyfenozide – (\*†Intrepid) Dow AgroSciences (I)

metiram – (Polyram) BASF (F)

metrafenone – (Vivando) BASF (F)

ammonium sulfate may be added for each pound of prohexadione calcium if the water source for spray applications contains high levels of calcium carbonate (hard water). Use a standard adjuvant/non-ionic surfactant.

**Serenade Optimum** (*Bacillus subtilis*) is a biofungicide labeled for control of fire blight, apple scab and powdery mildew. Serenade Optimum is a wettable powder formulation of the bacterium *Bacillus subtilis*, a common soil resident. The bacterium acts by releasing cell contents during growth in order to eliminate or reduce competitors in its immediate environment. Serenade Optimum may be less effective than conventional fungicide for controlling fungal diseases under the favorable climatic conditions that exist in New York. When used alone, Serenade Optimum provides only some control of fire blight. In alternation with streptomycin, it sometimes provides control approaching that of a full streptomycin program. Serenade Optimum should be applied as a preventive and can be applied up to and including the day of harvest.

## 5.5 Insecticides

The insecticides and acaricides used to control fruit pests can be divided into several categories according to their chemical composition, mode of action, persistence, and other properties. To plan and carry out an effective spray program, it is important to understand these characteristics. A simplified classification of most of the insecticides and acaricides recommended in this bulletin is given, along with some of their general properties and uses.

### Notes on Materials

The hazard of a material poisoning honey bees is given as follows: High = hazardous to bees at any time; 1 day to 2 wk residual toxicity. Moderate = not hazardous if applied in either evening or early morning when bees are not foraging, except during periods of high temperature; 3 hr to 1 day residual toxicity. Low = not hazardous to bees at any time; 1 hr to 1 day residual toxicity.

### 5.5.1 Organophosphates

Most organophosphate insecticides are highly toxic to warm-blooded animals when inhaled, swallowed, or absorbed through the skin. Persons handling or applying these materials should take every precaution for their own safety and for that of others. Although the organophosphates in general are less persistent than the chlorinated hydrocarbons, their toxicity often prohibits their use close to harvest (see following materials). Organophosphates are contact insecticides as well as stomach poisons. Therefore, they are useful for a quick kill of all insect forms present at the time of application, as well as for reasonable residual protection. When used alone or in combination with other materials, some organophosphates cause phytotoxicity on

fruit varieties. Check this reference under the pest, the crop, and the product for more details about this situation.

**Chlorpyrifos** (Lorsban) is registered for control of San Jose scale during the prebloom period on apples, pears, peaches, and plums. Application during this period will also control rosy apple aphid. This material can be used alone or in combination with oil. It is also registered for use on peaches and cherries to control peachtree borers and in apples as a trunk spray to control a variety of borers. A 75 WG (water dispersible granule) formulation is available for all tree fruits except apricots, and exhibits better efficacy, rainfastness, and fewer phytotoxicity problems than the \*3.76EC or \*4EC formulations. This label also lists postbloom trunk sprays for many borer species in apple. All formulations can also be used in tart cherries to control borers, as well as other pests including leafrollers, plant bugs, and scales. The material has a high bee-poisoning hazard.

**Diazinon** has caused russetting or related finish problems on R.I. Greening, Golden Delicious, and Baldwin. No injury has been reported on McIntosh or closely related varieties. Observations on other varieties are limited. The material should not be used in combination with copper. It is principally used prebloom for control of San Jose scale or postbloom for broad-spectrum control of major pests. It is generally less persistent than other standard phosphates and has a high bee-poisoning hazard.

**Dimethoate** is a broad-spectrum systemic material registered on pears that is still effective against certain organophosphate-resistant aphids and leafhoppers. It will also suppress tarnished plant bug when used prebloom. It has a high bee-poisoning hazard.

**[Note: These products have been voluntarily withdrawn from use on apples. Pears are still a registered use.]**

**Malathion** is a mild phosphate that is used where a high degree of safety to people or animals is desired. It is no longer labeled for apples. It is registered for use on cherry, peach, nectarine and apricot for a variety of pests including aphids, scales, codling moth, oriental fruit moth, plum curculio and Japanese beetle. It has a very short PHI, is compatible with most other insecticides and fungicides and has a high bee-poisoning hazard.

**Phosmet** (\*Imidan) is a broad-spectrum material with a lower toxicity to mammals than many other commonly used organophosphates. It is compatible with most commonly used insecticides and fungicides, but is incompatible with alkaline materials such as Bordeaux mixture and lime. It may cause severe leaf injury to sweet cherries, particularly those of Emperor Francis parentage. It can be used in summer sprays in integrated mite-control programs because of its low toxicity to predator mites. In some seasons in which rainfall has been negligible during the late summer, the fruit

## 6 Disease Management

### 6.1 Apple Scab Fungicides

Apple scab fungicides can control disease through four different types of activity: protection, post-infection activity, presymptom activity, and postsymptom activity. Understanding these activities and knowing which fungicides exhibit them can help a grower determine the materials that are likely to give the best results under a certain set of conditions.

**Protection.** Protection refers to the ability of fungicide residues to kill or inactivate scab spores (and thereby prevent infection) when the residue is already on or in the leaf or fruit before the infection takes place. A good protective fungicide must exhibit satisfactory retention, that is, the fungicide residue must stick to the leaf surface or be retained within to resist excessive washing away of the deposits by rain. On the other hand, a good protective fungicide should also have good redistribution properties, that is, fungicide residues should have a tendency to be washed by rain and redeposited on previously unprotected tissue. Ideally, a fungicide should stick well enough not to be washed off the tree, but should be redistributed well enough during rains to protect new growth.

**Post-infection activity.** Post-infection activity refers to the ability of a fungicide to kill or stop the growth of the fungus and thereby prevent the establishment of scab lesions, if

applied within a given period after the start of a wetting period. It is expressed as the period of time from the beginning of a wetting period within which the fungicide must be applied to stop infection. The data given in Table 6.1.3 are accurate at average temperatures of 50-60°F. At lower temperatures, the periods of after-infection activity for contact fungicides are longer than those listed.

**Presymptom activity.** Presymptom activity can be thought of as an extension of after-infection activity. When applied following an infection period, but beyond the time limits of its after-infection activity listed in Table 6.1.3, a fungicide with significant presymptom activity will allow small chlorotic lesions to develop; however, it will inhibit or greatly reduce the production of secondary spores from those lesions. Thus, if applied too late to completely stop infection, it will still greatly reduce the amount of inoculum available for secondary spread.

**Postsymptom activity.** Postsymptom activity refers to the ability of a fungicide, when applied to an actively sporulating scab lesion, to prevent or greatly inhibit the further production of secondary scab spores from that lesion. Because such applications do not kill the fungus, but merely arrest its development, they must be repeated to maintain this suppression. As with presymptom activity, this has the obvious benefit of reducing the pressure for the spread of secondary scab.

**Table 6.1.1. Activity spectrum of apple fungicides.**

Active Ingredient (Trade Name)	Fungicide Family	FRAC code‡	Scab	Ratings for the Control of					
				Powdery Mildew	Cedar Apple Rust	Black/ White Rot	Sooty Blotch/ Fly speck	Bitter Rot	Mite Suppres- sion(a)
captan[g]	Phthalimide	M4	4	0		2	3	2[e]	3[e]
cyprodinil (Vangard)	Anilinopyrimidine	9	2(f)[i]	1		0	0	0	0
dodine (Syllit)	Guanidine	M7	4[i]	0	1	1	1	0	0
difenoconazole + cyprodinil (Inspire Super MP)[f]	DMI (SI) and Anilinopyrimidine	3	4[c]	3	4	2	4	2	
fenarimol (Rubigan)[f]	DMI (SI)	3	4[c]	4	4	0	0	0	
ferbam (Ferbam)	Dithiocarbamate	M3	2	0	2	1	2	1	0
fenbuconazole (Indar 2F)[f]	DMI (SI)	3	4[c]	3	4	2	2	2	
flutriafol (†Topguard)	DMI (SI)	3	4[c]	4	4	2	2	2	—
kresoxim-methyl (Sovran)	Strobilurin (QoI)	11	4[c]	4	2	3	4	2	0
mancozeb (Dithane, Manzate, Penncozeb)	Dithiocarbamate	M3	3[d]	0	4	3	4	4	0
maneb (Manex, Maneb)	Dithiocarbamate	M3	3[d]	0	4	3	4	4	0
metiram (Polyram)	Dithiocarbamate	M3	3[d]	0	4	3	4	1	0
myclobutanil (Rally)[f]	DMI (SI)	3	4[c]	4	4	2	2	2	—
metrafenone (Vivando)[f]	aryl-phenyl- ketone	U8	0	4	0	0	0	0	

Table continues on next page.

## 7 Insect and Mite Management



**Table 7.1.1. Activity spectrum of pome fruit insecticides and acaricides.**

Trade Name (Active Ingredient)	IRAC‡	Ratings for the Control of														
		AM	Aph	EAS	Int	GFW	LH	OBLR	PC	PPs	RAA	RBLR	SJS	STLM	TPB	WAA
*†Actara (thiamethoxam)	4A	1	3	3	1	—	3	0	3	3	3	0	0	2	2	—
*Admire Pro (imidacloprid)	4A	—	3	—	—	—	3	—	—	2	3	—	2	3	—	2
*†Agri-Flex (abamectin/ thiamethoxam)	6/4A	1	3	3	1	—	3	0	3	3	3	0	0	3	1	—
*Agri-Mek (abamectin)	6	—	—	—	—	—	3	—	—	3	—	—	—	3	—	—
*†Altacor (chlorantraniliprole)	28	2	1	3	3	3	—	3	2	—	—	3	2	—	1	—
*Pounce (permethrin)	3A	3	2	2	—	3	3	2-3	2	2	2	3	1	3	3	—
*Asana XL (esfenvalerate)	3A	3	2	2	2-3	3	3	2-3	2	2	2	3	1	3	3	—
Assail (acetamiprid)	4A	3	3	2	3	—	3	0	2	2	3	0	2	3	2	2
Avaunt (indoxacarb)	22	2	1	2	2	—	3	0	3	—	0	—	0	2	2	—
§Aza-Direct, §Neemix	18B	—	2	1	2	—	2	—	0	—	2	—	—	3	—	—
§B.t. (§Agree, §Biobit, §Deliver, §Dipel, §Javelin)	11A	0	0	—	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	—	0	0	—
*Baythroid (cyfluthrin)	3A	3	2	2	2-3	3	3	2-3	2	—	—	3	—	3	3	—
Beleaf (flonicamid)	9C	—	3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	2
*†Besiege (chlorantraniliprole/ lambda- cyhalothrin)	3A/28	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	2	3	3	—
*†Centaur (buprofezin)	16	—	—	—	—	—	2	—	—	3	—	—	3	—	—	—
*Danitol (fenpropathrin)	3A	3	2	2	2-3	3	3	2-3	2	2	2	3	1	3	3	—
Delegate (spinetoram)	5	2	0	—	3	3	—	3	2	3	—	3	—	3	—	—
*diazinon	1B	3	1	—	2	2	1	0	2	0	3	0	2	1	1	3
*†Endigo (thiamethoxam/ lambda-cyhalothrin)	3A/4A	3	2	2	2-3	3	3	2-3	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	—
§Entrust (spinosad)	5	2	0	—	2	3	0	3	0	—	0	3	—	2	0	—
Esteem (pyriproxyfen)	7C	0	0	—	2	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	3	2	0	—
*†Exirel (cyantraniliprole)	28	2	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	0	3	0	0
§Grandevo ( <i>Chromobac- terium subtsugae</i> )	—	—	—	—	2	—	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
*Imidan (phosmet)	1B	3	1	3	3	1	1	1	3	0	1	3	2	1	1	—
*†Intrepid (methoxyfen- ozide)	18A	0	0	—	2	—	0	3	0	—	0	3	0	2	0	—
*Lannate (methomyl)	1A	2	2	1	3	3	3	2-3	2	0	1	3	2	3	1	—
*Leverage (cyfluthrin/ imidacloprid)	3A/4A	3	3	2	3	3	3	2-3	3	2	3	3	2	3	3	—
Lorsban (chlorpyrifos)	1B	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	2	3	1	1	—
§M-Pede, Des-X (insecticidal soap)	—	0	2-3	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	—
Malathion	1B	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	0	1	2	—	1	1	—
*†Minecto Pro (cyantraniliprole/abamectin)	28/6	2	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	0	3	0	0
Movento (spirotetramat)	23	—	3	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	—	—	3	—	—	3
†Nexter (pyridaben)	21	—	0	—	—	—	2	—	—	3	—	—	—	—	—	—

Table continues on next page.

Figure 7.1.3. STLM Petal Fall Sampling Form

- If STLM eggs were not sampled during the pink or early bloom stage, a decision on 1<sup>st</sup> generation control can still be made by sampling sap-feeding mines at petal fall. After all the blossoms have fallen, start near one corner of the block, and go to every other tree until you have sampled enough trees to reach a decision. Select 3 fruit clusters from around the canopy of each tree sampled.
- Using a magnifier, count the mines on the undersides of the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th leaves in each cluster, counting leaves in the order they unfolded (see diagram at right).
- After 2 trees have been sampled, begin comparing the accumulated total number of mines found with the decision lines shown in the chart below for that number of trees.



- If the number of mines falls in the "Continue Sampling" zone, sample another tree. If the total is in the "Stop Sampling, Don't Treat" zone, sampling is stopped and no treatment is recommended. If the total is in the "Stop Sampling and Treat" zone, sampling is stopped and a treatment is recommended at petal fall. If 7 trees are sampled and the total number of mines equals 63, the population is below threshold.

Refer to the Apple Pesticide Spray Table for a choice of pesticide materials.

## 8 Weed Management

### 8.1 Calibration to Ensure Correct Herbicide Rate

Herbicide labels indicate rate of application as amount of product per acre; that is, per acre actually treated. Only if you broadcast herbicide over the entire orchard floor will the treated acreage equal the orchard acreage. Follow the instructions below to assure application of the correct herbicide rate.

#### 8.1.1 Calculating Nozzle Flow Rate

##### Travel Speed:

For most situations, 2-2.5 mph is best (176-220 ft. /min.).

##### Pressure:

Use low pressure (20-35 psi) to minimize formation of small droplets, because small droplets can drift off target.

##### Spray Volume per Treated Acre:

Generally, low rates (20-30 gals./acre or less) are more suitable for postemergence herbicides, where runoff from weeds would reduce effectiveness. Higher rates, 40-50 gals./acre, may provide better coverage and control when using preemergence herbicides.

##### Shields:

By adding a shield over the spray boom, thin, young bark of fruit trees may be protected when using glyphosate or other herbicides that can injure fruit tree bark. If weeds are tall when treated and spring back into the tree branches after application under a shield, glyphosate can still be picked up through the leaves of the trees.

##### Nozzles:

Avoid nozzles that produce fine mist. Generally, hollow cone nozzles produce the finest droplets, flat sprays are second, and full cone nozzles produce the coarsest spray.

A single boomless off-center flat spray nozzle, or a flooding nozzle, may be suitable for some orchards, but one or more regular flat spray nozzles on a boom may be better where branches are close to the ground.

Use the following formula to determine nozzle flow rate in gal./min., then consult a nozzle manufacturer's chart to select the proper nozzle.

#### 8.1.2 Definition of Terms

1. **Gallons per Treated Acre (G/TA)** = Amount of herbicide spray you want to apply per treated acre.
2. **Swath (S)** = Width of the sprayed area in feet.
3. **Travel Speed (TS)** = Feet traveled per minute.
4. **Nozzle flow rate** (gallons per minute) = (Gallons per Acre x Swath x Travel Speed) divided by 43,560

$$\text{Nozzle Flow Rate} = (G/TA \times S \times TS) / 43,560$$

##### Example:

What nozzle flow rate do you need to apply 25 gallons of herbicide spray mix per treated acre, using a 3-foot-wide swath and a travel speed of 220 feet per minute (=2.5 miles per hour)?

##### Nozzle flow rate

$$\begin{aligned} &= (25 \times 3 \times 220) \text{ divided by } 43,560 \\ &= (16,500) \text{ divided by } 43,560 \\ &= 0.38 \text{ gallons per minute.} \end{aligned}$$

If using 2 nozzles, select 2 that will give 0.19 gallon per minute each at the selected pressure.

#### 8.1.3 Checking Herbicide Sprayer Output

##### Spray Pattern:

Check uniformity of spray pattern, using corrugated fiberglass roofing panels as a spraying surface. Spray from the same height as will be used in the orchard. Compare liquid volume collected in each trough.

##### Actual Spray Volume:

With proper nozzles installed, travel a measured distance at the selected speed and pump pressure. Use this formula to determine the actual spray volume in gallons per treated acre.

##### Gallons per Treated Acre:

$$= (\text{Gallons sprayed during trial run} \times 43,560) \text{ divided by } (\text{Feet traveled during trial run} \times \text{Swath width in feet}).$$

##### Example:

You emptied a tank containing exactly 3 gallons in a distance of 1,200 feet. The treated swath was 3 feet wide. How many gallons of spray are you applying per treated acre?

##### Gallons per Treated Acre

$$\begin{aligned} &= (3 \times 43,560) \text{ divided by } (1,200 \times 3) \\ &= (130,680) / (3,600) \\ &= 36.3 \text{ gallons} \end{aligned}$$

If you want to apply 4 lbs. of herbicide per acre, then in this case you would add 4 lbs. of herbicide to each 36 gallons of water in the tank.

##### Agitation:

If herbicides are allowed to settle or separate in the sprayer tank, distribution in the orchard will not be uniform. Provide constant agitation when using wettable powders, or any other insoluble formulation (emulsions, emulsifiable concentrates, dry flowables, liquid flowables, and suspensions). Use defoaming adjuvant when needed to control excessive foam.

**Table 8.4.3. Weed control guidelines for tree fruit.**

Refer to back of book for key to abbreviations and footnotes.

Crop						Tree Age				PRODUCT NAME (active ingredient, weight of active per unit of herbicide)
Apples	Pears	Cherries	Peaches	Apricots	Plum/Prune	Planting Year	1 year plus	2 years plus	3 years plus	
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		<p><b>*UNISON or other labeled formulation (2,4-D, 1.74 lb/gal)</b></p> <p><i>Weeds Controlled:</i> broadleaves</p> <p><i>Rate (per acre):</i> 3 pt.</p> <p><i>AI per acre (lbs/acre):</i> 0.6525</p> <p><i>Days to harvest:</i> <u>Apples and pears:</u> 14; <u>cherries, peaches, plums:</u> 40</p> <p><i>REI (hours):</i> 48</p> <p><i>Comments:</i> To control dandelions and other broadleaf weeds in sod cover under cherry trees, apply in the fall (best) or early spring BEFORE TREES OR DANDELIONS BEGIN TO BLOOM. Yearly application is needed to control dandelions. Avoid contact with fruit, foliage, stems, or limbs of trees.</p>
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		<p><b>AIM EC (carfentrzone-ethyl, 2 lb/gal)</b></p> <p><i>Weeds Controlled:</i> annual grasses and broadleaves</p> <p><i>Rate (per acre):</i> 1-2 oz, 2 oz. for green rootsucker control.</p> <p><i>AI per acre (lbs/acre):</i> 0.03</p> <p><i>Days to harvest:</i> <u>All tree fruits:</u> 3</p> <p><i>REI (hours):</i> 12</p> <p><i>Comments:</i> Apply in tank mix with paraquat or glyphosate for broadleaf and grass control, but avoid contact with green bark and foliage in new to 2 year old trees.</p>
X	X	X	X	X	X				X	<p><b>*†ALION (indaziflam, 1.67 lb./gallon)</b></p> <p><i>Weeds Controlled:</i> annual broadleaves and grasses</p> <p><i>Rate (per acre):</i> 5-6.5 oz.</p> <p><i>AI per acre (lbs/acre):</i> 0.065-0.085</p> <p><i>Days to harvest:</i> 14</p> <p><i>REI (hours):</i> 12</p> <p><i>Comments:</i> Use on trees established for at least 3 years that show normal growth and good vigor. Best control when applied in strip free of weed residue, No post-emergence activity. Note that rate varies depending on soil texture and organic matter content; see label.</p>
X	X	X				X	X			<p><b>CASORON 1.4CS (dichlobenil, 1.4 lb/gal)</b></p> <p><i>Weeds Controlled:</i> annual grasses and broadleaves, and perennial seedlings</p> <p><i>Rate (per acre):</i> 1.4-2.8 gals.</p> <p><i>AI per acre (lbs/acre):</i> 2.9-4.3</p> <p><i>Days to harvest:</i> None listed</p> <p><i>REI (hours):</i> 12</p> <p><i>Comments:</i> Apply late fall to early spring. Controls many annual and perennial grasses and weeds in trees established for 1 year.</p>
X	X	X				X	X			<p><b>CASORON 4G (dichlobenil, 0.04 lb/lb)</b></p> <p><i>Weeds Controlled:</i> annual grasses and broadleaves, and perennials at high rates</p> <p><i>Rate (per acre):</i> 100-150 lb</p> <p><i>AI per acre (lbs/acre):</i> 4.0-6.0</p> <p><i>Days to harvest:</i> none listed</p> <p><i>REI (hours):</i> 12</p> <p><i>Comments:</i> November 15 to March 15 when soil temp is below 45°. Allowed 4 weeks after transplanting</p>

# 10 Nutrient Management of Apple Orchards

## 10.1 Introduction

When developing mineral nutrient management programs for tree fruits, it is important to consider the nutrient demand-supply relationship throughout the season.

## 10.2 Nitrogen

Early season canopy development and fruit growth require large amounts of nitrogen (N), while fruit quality development and the acquisition of adequate cold hardiness by the tree later in the season require only a minimum supply of N. Thus, an ideal seasonal pattern of tree nitrogen status should be to start the season with relatively high nitrogen status to promote rapid leaf development and early fruit growth. As the season progresses, nitrogen status should decline gradually to guarantee fruit quality development and wood maturity before the onset of winter. There are three sources of nitrogen supply tree fruits can use. First is reserve nitrogen that has accumulated in the tree from the previous growing season. This source of nitrogen is readily available for initial growth during the spring. In fact, spur leaf development and early fruit growth are mainly supported by the reserve N. The second source is the natural N supply from the soil mineralization process. This process provides substantial amounts of nitrogen for trees growing on soils with high organic matter. The third is nitrogen fertilizers applied to the soil or to the foliage. To determine the amount of fertilizer nitrogen needed, we need to know the total tree demand and the amounts the other two nitrogen sources can provide. However, there is not enough information currently available on this demand-supply relationship to make this approach practical. Instead, soil and leaf analyses have been developed over the years to help growers diagnose tree nutrient status and soil nutrient availability and make adjustments on their fertilization programs accordingly.

## 10.3 Soil Analysis

Soil analysis is very useful for determining lime requirement and mineral availability in the soil before orchard establishment. For existing orchards, it provides information necessary for interpreting leaf analysis results and modifying fertilization programs.

A soil nutrient analysis should be performed before planting a new orchard and every 2 to 3 years after orchard establishment. The soil sample taken should be representative of the soil type and conditions within the orchard. Generally, the area included in any one-sample collection should not exceed 10 acres. Scrape away the surface 1-inch of soil, then collect samples from the 1 to 8 inch depth, and separate samples from 8 to 16 inches. In a 10 acre orchard, a minimum of 10 to 20 subsamples is suggested. Thoroughly mix the 1-8 inch subsamples together to provide a representative sample for the topsoil, and treat the 8 to 16 inch subsamples similarly to get a representative sample for subsoil. Soil samples can be sent to Agro-One, 730 Warren Road, Ithaca, NY 14850.

## 10.4 Preplant Soil Preparation

New York soils are classified into 5 management groups on the basis of texture and parental materials (Table 10.4.1). Percentage of clay, buffering capacity, and potassium supply power decrease from group I to V.

### 10.4.1. Liming



The pH values of orchard soils should be maintained in the range of 6.0 to 6.5 throughout the soil profile to optimize plant growth and nutrient availability. For preplant soil preparation, we recommend the pH of topsoil (0-8 inch depth) be adjusted to 7.0 and that of subsoil to 6.5. Most soils in New York have pH values lower than optimum and need liming to raise the pH prior to planting a new orchard. This also ensures adequate calcium and magnesium supplies in the soil.

The amount of lime required to adjust topsoil pH to 7.0 and subsoil pH to 6.5 is determined by the current pH values of the topsoil and subsoil (determined from a soil analysis) and the buffering capacity of the soil, i.e. exchange acidity or cation exchange capacity, (CEC), of topsoil and subsoil (also determined from a soil analysis). Using these values, the lime requirement can be determined from Table 10.4.2 for topsoil and from Table 10.4.3 for subsoil. The amount of lime to be added is the sum of topsoil plus subsoil requirement. When complete soil tests are not available, Table 10.4.4 may be used to estimate lime requirement.

**Table 10.4.1. Soil management groups**

Soil group	Texture	Examples
I	Clayey soils, fine-textured soils.	Vergennes, Kingsbury, Hudson, Rhinebeck, Schoharie, Odessa.
II	Silty loam soils with medium to moderately fine texture.	Cazenovia, Hilton, Honeoye, Lima, Ontario, Lansing, Mohawk, Chagrin, Teel.
III	Silty loam soils with moderately coarse texture.	Barbour, Chenango, Palmyra, Tioga, Mardin, Langfor, Tunkhannock.
IV	Loamy soils, coarse- to medium-textured soils.	Bombay, Broadalbin, Copake, Empeyville, Madrid, Sodus, Worth.
V	Sandy soils, very coarse-textured soils.	Alton, Colton, Windsor, Colonie, Elmwood, Junius, Suncook

FIGURE 11.1.1  
GROWTH STAGES IN  
APPLE



# 11 Apples

## 11.1 Insecticides and Fungicides for Apples

See Sections 11.2, 11.3, 11.4, and 11.5 for comments related to this table.

**Table 11.1.1 Pesticide Spray Table – Apples.**

(Refer to back of book for key to abbreviations and footnotes.)

<i>Pest</i>	<i>IRAC &amp; FRAC</i>	<i>Product</i>	<i>Rates</i>	<i>PHI (days)</i>	<i>REI (hrs)</i>	<i>Efficacy</i>	<i>Comments (see text)</i>
<b>Silver Tip</b>							
<b>Apple scab</b>	9 + 7	*†Luna Tranquility	11.2-16 fl oz/acre	72	12	High	
	M1	Badge SC	3.5-7.0 pts/acre	0	48		[8.4]
	M1	Badge X2	3.5-7.0 lb/acre	0	48		[8.4]
<b>Black Rot &amp; White Rot</b>		Thiophanate Methyl 85WDG		1	48		
<b>Blister Spot</b>	33	Phostrol	2.5-5.0 pts/acre	0	4	High	[5.1]
<b>Crown rot</b>	04	Ridomil Gold SL	2 qt/acre 0.5 pt/100 gal water		48		[7.2]
	33	Aliette WDG	2.5-5 lb/acre 0.5-1 pt/100 gal water	14	12		
	33	Phostrol	2.5-5.0 pts/acre	0	4	High	
	33	Prophyt	2-4 pt/100 gal water	0	4	High	[7.3]
<b>European Fruit Lecanium</b>		oil	2-3 gal/100 gal water			High	[20.2]
<b>European Red Mite</b>		oil	2-3 gal/100 gal water			High	[20.2]
<b>Fire blight</b>		*Agri-mycin		50	12		[8.5]
		Kocide 3000 O	3.5-7.0 lb/acre 1.11-2.3 lb/100 gal water	HIG	48		
		Previsto	2-4 qt/acre	See label	48		
	M1	Badge SC	3.5-7.0 pts/acre	0	48		[8.4]
	M1	Badge X2	3.5-7.0 lb/acre	0	48		[8.4]
<b>Phytophthora Rots</b>	33	Phostrol	2.5-5.0 pts/acre	0	4	High	[7.3]
	33	Prophyt	2-4 pt/100 gal water	0	4	High	[7.3]
<b>Green Tip</b>							
<b>Apple scab</b>	7	*†Sercadis	4.5 fl oz/acre	0	12	High	
	M1	Badge SC	3.5-7.0 pts/acre	0	48		[8.4]
	M1	Badge X2	3.5-7.0 lb/acre	0	48		[8.4]
	M3	Manzate ProStik	3.0-6.0 lb/acre 1.0-2.0 lb/100 gal water	BL, 77(A)	24		[1.3,2.2]
	M3	Penncozeb 75DF	3.0-6.0 lb/acre 1.0-2.0 lb/100 gal water	BL, 77(A)	24		[1.3,2.2,2.8]
	M3	Polyram 80DF	3.0-4.5 lb/acre	BL, 77(A)	24		[1.3,2.2]
	M4	Captan 50WP	8.0 lb/acre 1.0-2.0 lb/100 gal water	0	24		[2.1,2.2]
	M4	Captan 80WDG	5.0 lb/acre 0.65-1.25 lb/100 gal water	0	24		[2.1,2.2]
	M4	Captan 4L	0.5-1.0 qt/100 gal water	UDH	24		[2.1,2.2]
	M4	Captan 4L	1.0 pt/100 gal water	UDH	24		
	U12	Syllit FL	1.5 pts/acre				[2.15]
	9	Scala	7.0-10.0 fl oz/acre	72	12		

control disease on cultivars that will not be harvested within 25-30 days after fungicide coverage is depleted. Effectiveness of late-season sprays is largely dependent on spray coverage within the tree.

## 11.3 Apple Insect and Mite Notes

### 11.3.1 American Plum Borer

#### • Pesticide Application Notes

[17.1] One coarse spray of Lorsban to trunk burr knots between half-inch green and petal fall. Alternatively, if fresh borer activity is noted in early July, apply one spray of Lorsban in early July. Only 1 application of any chlorpyrifos material allowed per year in apples. PHI = 28 days.

### 11.3.2 Apple Aphid, Spirea Aphid

#### • Pesticide Application Notes

[1.0] For best effectiveness and insecticide resistance management, the use of pre-mixes should be reserved for situations when multiple pest species are present and appropriately matched to the combination of active ingredients and modes of action contained in the product.

[11.1] Suggested action threshold: 30-40% of all terminals infested, OR 50% or more of the terminals with at least 1 aphid and less than 20% of the terminals with predators, OR 10% of fruit with honeydew or aphids.

[11.1a] Multiple applications of \*†Agri-Flex or \*†Voliam Flexi in pome fruit require applicator to not exceed a total of 0.172 lbs a.i. of thiamethoxam containing products per acre per growing season.

[11.1b] Movento applied at petal fall and 1st cover will also provide control of apple leafcurling midge.

[11.1c] \*Danitol will also provide suppression of European red mite.

[11.1d] Movento must be used with a spray adjuvant that has spreading and penetrating properties.

[11.1e] \*†Vydate applied in the summer against leafminer will also control apple aphid.

### 11.3.3 Apple Maggot

#### • Biology & Cultural

Refer to the reference materials list at the end of this publication for a Fact Sheet and Sampling Guide containing details on the biology and management of this pest.

#### • Monitoring & Forecasting

Refer to the NEWA Apple Insect Models website ([newa.cornell.edu/index.php?page=apple-insects](http://newa.cornell.edu/index.php?page=apple-insects)) for current information on the occurrence, development and management of this pest in your specific location.

#### • Pesticide Application Notes

[1.0] For best effectiveness and insecticide resistance management, the use of pre-mixes should be reserved for situations when multiple pest species are present and

appropriately matched to the combination of active ingredients and modes of action contained in the product.

[1.1] Do not apply this product while bees are foraging; do not apply until flowering is complete and all petals have fallen. If an application must be made when managed bees are at the treatment site, the beekeeper providing the pollination services must be notified no less than 48 hours prior to the time of the planned application so that the bees can be removed, covered or otherwise protected prior to spraying.

[1.2] Not registered for use in Nassau or Suffolk Counties.

[1.3] Must be applied in combination with a non-ionic surfactant or horticultural oil (not a dormant oil).

[12.1] \*Asana and \*Warrior applied against other pests during this period will also control apple maggot. Suggested action threshold: capture of 1 fly on red sphere trap hung in block, or of 5 flies on red sphere baited with apple volatiles.

[12.1a] 2-4 sprays at 14-day intervals beginning late June to early July.

[12.1b] \*Danitol will also provide suppression of European red mite.

[12.1e] Suppression only; for best results, use the highest rate listed.

[12.2] Frequent applications (7-10-day intervals) of §Surround and maximal coverage (minimum of 100 gal/A) are advised while there is active foliar growth.

[15.4] Multiple applications of thiamethoxam-containing products in pome fruit require applicator to not exceed a total of 0.172 lbs a.i. per acre per growing season.

[17.1a] For suppression only; use 12.0 fl oz/A for best results.

[26.4d] For best performance, use \*†Exirel with an effective adjuvant.

### 11.3.4 Apple Rust Mite

#### • Biology & Cultural

Occurs from late June to harvest, particularly on varieties with pubescent leaves; does not generally coincide with high red mite populations, as feeding tends to condition foliage to be less suitable for red mite development. Low numbers are valuable as prey for predator mites. Injury is a yellowish browning of leaves or white blotches on upper leaf surfaces.

#### • Pesticide Application Notes

[13.2] Use a maximum of 2 miticide applications per season; \*†Envirdor limited to 1 application per season. Suggested action threshold: >200 mites/leaf.

### 11.3.5 Black Stem Borer

#### • Biology & Cultural

A recent emergent pest, this is an ambrosia beetle that occurs throughout the season starting in mid- to late April, infesting apple trees that are physiologically stressed from flooding, drought, cold injury or disease; trees in low-lying, poorly drained sites are especially vulnerable. Adults often

**Table 11.5.1 Recommended diphenylamine concentrations for varieties in New York subject to scald.**

Variety	Diphenylamine (ppm)	Variety	Diphenylamine (ppm)
Baldwin	1000-1500	Idared	1000
Braeburn	1000	Jonagold	1000
Cortland	2000	McIntosh	1000
Delicious	1000-1500	Mutsu	2000
Empire	1000	Rome	1500
Golden Delicious	1000	Stayman	1500

of little benefit to apples harvested after the projected optimum harvest date. Fruit injury from calcium chloride has been found to be associated with iron in the solution. Coat steel tanks or use plastic tanks and piping to minimize this problem.

## 11.5 Notes on Scald Control

### 11.5.1 Materials

All DPA (diphenylamine) formulations are suspensions and become weaker with use. Replenishment with full-strength material does not replace the DPA removed by the apples. Test kits are available to determine concentrations of make-up material. Do not exceed 30 bins or 750 bushels/100 gal of made-up DPA; empty the reservoir tank and start again with fresh material.

Cartons containing apples that have been treated postharvest with DPA and fungicide must be so labeled.

### 11.5.2 Application Equipment

Bins of apples are sometimes dipped into a tank containing postharvest preservatives, but more often the bins are moved by conveyors, rollers, or truck bed under a cascade of the preservatives. The bins should be moved slowly under the cascade, with 35-40 gal of preservatives being delivered into each bin. The pump should be sized to deliver 35-40 gal of preservatives/bin at the desired rate of bin movement under the cascade. If stacked bins are moved under the cascade, the top bins should receive 35-40 gal and side nozzles should be positioned to deliver additional gallonage to the lower bins, even though drainage holes are provided in the bin floors. Application equipment is commercially available, but operators usually fabricate their applicators to meet the needs of their own operation. Dirty truckloads should be rinsed with clean water before treatment to minimize the accumulation of dirt in the reservoir tank.

### 11.5.3 Variety Requirements

Materials and concentrations for the major apple varieties in New York are listed in Table 11.5.1. Important: DPA retards chlorophyll loss in Golden Delicious and, therefore, should not be used unless the apples have developed full yellow color at harvest.

The very low susceptibility of Empire to scald indicates that it can be safely stored without any preservative treatment. However, if preservative treatment is demanded, then use 1000 ppm DPA in the drench solution.

## 11.6 Growth Regulator Use In Apples

### 11.6.1 Chemical Thinning

Fruit thinning is a management practice that reduces yield in the current season but results in increased fruit size and also increased return bloom and yield in the next season. Large fruit size is best obtained with consistent cropload reductions each year through chemical thinning. The use of growth regulating chemicals to thin apple trees is not an exact science and each grower must weigh and evaluate the many factors that affect chemical thinning response in deciding on a thinning program. Although the recommendations in this section are based on research and experience, growers are cautioned that their success with chemical thinning depends on many factors and they should use these recommendations only as a guide.

### 11.6.2 Weather Factors That Affect Thinning Response

**Frost.** Frost before application of thinners can greatly increase the amount of thinning obtained from chemical thinners. Frost at bloom can damage fruitlets and reduce seed set, which can result in increased natural drop and greater chemical thinning response. Frost can also damage spur leaves, resulting in greater chemical uptake and thus greater thinning response. Wherever flowers and leaves have been damaged by frost, extreme caution should be used with chemical thinners. Typically, lower rates would be used in such cases. Surfactants and oil additives should be avoided following a frost and may cause overthinning.

**Sunlight Levels before Application.** The amount of sunlight for the 3-5 days preceding application of chemical thinners has an important effect on chemical uptake and response. Intense cloudy weather before application of thinners can result in increased chemical uptake and greater thinning response, due to greater succulence of the leaves and a thin wax cuticle. In addition, intense cloudy weather results in reduced carbohydrate supply for fruit growth and reduced fruit growth rate. This results in increased natural drop.

## 17 Appendices

### 17.1 Pesticide Data

**Table 17.1.1 Common names, product names, formulations, and days-to-harvest for insecticides, acaricides, fungicides, and bactericides used on tree fruits.**

Common Names/ Products Formulations	DAYS TO HARVEST (A)					
	<i>Apples</i>	<i>Apricots</i>	<i>Cherries</i>	<i>Peaches</i>	<i>Pears</i>	<i>Plums</i>
<b><i>Insecticides and Acaricides</i></b>						
abamectin/avermectin						
*Agri-Mek 8SC	28	21	21	21	28	21
*Abba 0.15EC	28	21	21	21	28	21
*†Agri-Flex SC	35	—	—	—	35	—
*Gladiator EC	28	21	21	21	28	21
acequinocyl						
Kanemite 15SC	14	—	—	—	14	—
acetamiprid						
Assail 30SG	7	7	7	7	7	7
azadirachtin						
§Neemix 4.5L, §Aza-Direct 1.2L, §Azatin XL 0.27EC	0	0	0	0	0	0
bifenazate						
Acramite 50WS	7	3	3	3	7	3
Banter SC, WDG	7	3	3	3	7	3
bifenthrin						
*Brigade 10WS, 2 EC	—	—	—	—	14	—
*Fanfare 2EC	—	—	—	—	14	—
Bt ( <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> )						
§Deliver 18WG	0	0	0	0	0	0
§Dipel 10.3 DF	0	0	0	0	0	0
§Biobit 2.IFC	0	0	0	0	0	0
§Javelin 7.5WDG	0	0	0	0	0	0
§Agree 3.8 WS	0	—	0	0	0	0
buprofezin						
*†Centaur 0.7WDG	14	14	14	14	14	14
<i>Burkholderia</i> spp. strain A396						
§Venerate XC	0	0	0	0	0	0
carbaryl						
Sevin 4F, 4EC, 80S	3	3	3	3	3	3
chlorantraniliprole						
*†Altacor 35WDG	5	10	10	10	5	10
*†Voliam Flexi WDG	35	14	14	14	35	14
*†Besiege CS-SC	21	14	14	14	21	14
chlorpyrifos						
*Lorsban 4EC, *Lorsban Advanced 3.76EC	PB/28(A)	—	21	14	PB	PB
Lorsban 75WG	PB/28(A)	—	14 or 21(C)	PB	PB	PB
<i>Chromobacterium subsugae</i>						
§Grandevo	0	0	0	0	0	0
clofentezine						
Apollo 4SC	45	21	21	21	21	—
cyantraniliprole/cyazypyr						
*†Exirel	3	3	3	3	3	3
cyantraniliprole/abamectin						
*†Minecto Pro	28	21	21	21	28	21
cyflumetofen						
Nealta	7	—	—	—	7	—
cyfluthrin						
*Baythroid XL 1E, 2EC, *Leverage 360	7	7	7	7	7	7

**Table 17.2.1 Insecticides and acaricides***NOTE: Always read product label to confirm required PPE.*

Product	EPA Reg. No.	Common Name	REI (hrs)	Applicator PPE	Early Entry PPE
§Entrust 80WP	62719-282	spinosad	4	ac	bck
§Entrust 2SC	62719-621	spinosad	4	ac	cfk
*†Envirdor 2SC	264-831 (NY SLN 140006)	spiroadiclofen	12	abc	abc
Esteem 35WP	59639-115	pyriproxyfen	12	ac	bce
*†Exirel 0.83E	352-859	cyantraniliprole	12	acf	cfk
*Gladiator EC	279-3441	zeta-cypermethrin, avermectin B1	12	acf	cfk
*Imidan 70W	10163-169	phosmet	3-7 days(E)	abcjl	bcjk
§Grandevo	84059-17	<i>Chromobacterium subtsugae</i>	4	abch	bchk
*†Intrepid 2F	62719-442	methoxyfenozide	4	abc	fgk
§Isomate-CM/OFM TT	53575-30	pheromone	0	b	—
§Isomate-PTB Dual	53575-34	pheromone	0	b	—
§Isomate OFM TT	53575-29	pheromone	0	b	—
§Isomate CM/OFM Mist	53575-44	pheromone	0	b	—
§Isomate DWB	53575-40	pheromone	0	b	—
§Javelin 7.5WDG	70051-66	Bt	4	abcl	bck
JMS Stylet-Oil	65564-1	paraffinic oil	4	acf	cfk
Kanemite 15SC	66330-38	acequinocyl	12	acf	cfk
§Kumulus 80DF	51036-352-2935	sulfur	24	acfh	cfhk
*Lambda-Cy 1EC	70506-121	lambda-cyhalothrin	24	acfh	acf
*Lannate 90SP	352-342	methomyl	48-96 (E)	acfh	cfhk
*Lannate LV 2.4L	352-384	methomyl	48-96 (E)	acfk	cfhk
*Leverage 360	264-1104	imidacloprid/beta-cyfluthrin	12	acf	cfk
*Lorsban 4EC	62719-220	chlorpyrifos	96	adfgijl	dfgj
Lorsban 75WG	62719-301-10163	chlorpyrifos	96	acefgij	dfgj
*Lorsban Advanced 3.76EC	62719-591	chlorpyrifos	96	acgfijl	dfgj
§Madex HP	69553-1	insecticidal virus	4	abc	bck
Magister SC	10163-322	fenazaquin	12	acf	bck
Malathion 57EC	34704-108	malathion	12	acfh	cfhk
*†Minecto Pro SC	100-1592	cyantraniliprole/abamectin	12	acf	cfk
Movento 240SC	264-1050	spirotetramat	24	acfh	acfh
Mustang MAXX	279-3426	zeta-cypermethrin	12	acfh	cfk
§M-Pede 49L	10163-324	insecticidal soap	12	ac	bck
Nealta	7969-336	cyflumetofen	12	acf	cfk
§Neemix	70051-9	azadirachtin	4	acfh	cfhk
†Nexter 75WS	81880-4-10163	pyridaben	12	abchjl	bchjkl
Onager 1EC	10163-277	hexythiazox	12	acf	cfk
Portal 0.4EC	71711-19	fenpyroximate	12	acfhj	dfgh
Portal XLO 0.4EC	71711-40	fenpyroximate	12	acfh	dfgh
*Pounce 25 WP	279-3051	permethrin	12	abc	cfk
*Proclaim 5SG	100-904	emamectin benzoate	12	acef	cfhk
§PyGanic 1.4EC	1021-1771	pyrethrins	12	acf	cfk
§Pyrenone 6L	432-1033	pyrethrins/PBO	12	acf	cfk
*Rimon 0.83EC	66222-35-400 (NY SLN 10-0001)	novaluron	12	acfh	cefh
Savey 50DF	10163-250	hexythiazox	12	abc	abc
§Seduce Insect Bait	67702-25-70051	spinosad	4	ac	bck