

2023 New York and Pennsylvania Pest Management Guidelines for Grapes

Cornell Cooperative Extension



2023 New York and Pennsylvania Pest Management Guidelines for Grapes

Authors

Bryan Brown (NYS Integrated Pest Management Program, Cornell AgriTech, Geneva, NY; weed management)

Katie Gold (School of Integrative Plant Sciences, Plant Pathology and Plant-Microbe Biology Section, Geneva, NY; disease management)

Bryan E. Hed (Penn State University, North East, PA; disease management)

Michael Helms (CCE-PSEP, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY; pesticide information)

Greg Loeb (Department of Entomology, Geneva, NY; entomology)

Lynn Sosnoskie (School of Integrative Plant Science, Horticulture Section, Cornell AgriTech, Geneva, NY; weed management)

Special Appreciation

Special appreciation is extended to the following for their contributions to this publication:

Andrew J. Muza (retired – Penn State Cooperative Extension – Erie County, Erie, PA); Terence R. Bates (Lake Erie Regional Grape Program, Portland, NY); Bruce I. Reisch, (School of Integrative Plant Sciences, Horticulture Section, Geneva, NY); Timothy E. Martinson (Cornell Cooperative Extension, Geneva NY); Alice V. Wise (Cornell Cooperative Extension – Suffolk County, Riverhead, NY); and Hans C. Walter-Peterson (Finger Lakes Regional Grape Program, Penn Yan, NY)

Disease Management: Wayne F. Wilcox (retired – School of Integrative Plant Sciences, Plant Pathology and Plant-Microbe Biology Section, Geneva, NY); David M. Gadoury (School of Integrative Plant Sciences, Plant Pathology and Plant-Microbe Biology Section, Geneva, NY); Amara Dunn (Biocontrol Specialist, NYS Integrated Pest Management Program, Geneva, NY); Timothy H. Weigle (retired - NYS Integrated Pest Management Program, Portland, NY); David Combs (School of Integrative Plant Sciences, Plant Pathology and Plant-Microbe Biology Section, Geneva, NY)

Entomology: Michael C. Saunders (retired – Department of Entomology, University Park, PA), Jody Timer (retired – Penn State University, North East, PA); Heather Leach (Department of Entomology, University Park, PA); Timothy H. Weigle (retired - NYS Integrated Pest Management Program, Portland, NY)

Weed Management: Andrew F. Senesac (retired – Cornell Cooperative Extension – Suffolk County, Riverhead, NY); Alice V. Wise (Cornell Cooperative Extension – Suffolk County, Riverhead, NY); Rick Dunst (retired - Lake Erie Regional Grape Program, Portland, NY)

Sprayer Technology: Andrew J. Landers (retired – Department of Entomology, Geneva, NY)

Abbreviations and Symbols Used in This Publication A....acre EC.....emulsifiable concentrate SP..... soluble powder AI.....active ingredient F.....flowable UDH up to day of harvest ULV..... ultra-low volume D.....dust G....granular DF.....dry flowable L....liquid W wettable DGdispersible granule P.....pellets WDG water-dispersible granule PHI..... pre-harvest interval DTH.....days to harvest WP..... wettable powder E.....emulsion, emulsifiable SC suspension concentrate WSP..... water soluble packet *...... Federal restricted-use pesticide; may be purchased and used only by certified applicators *NY Restricted-use pesticide in New York State †...... Not for use in Nassau and Suffolk Counties ^...... Not registered for use in New York State at press time.

Every effort has been made to provide correct, complete, and up-to-date pest management information for New York State and Pennsylvania at the time this publication was released for printing (February 2023). Changes in pesticide registrations, regulations, and guidelines occurring after publication are available in county cooperative extension offices or from the Cornell Cooperative Extension Pesticide Safety Education Program (CCE-PSEP) (psep.cce.cornell.edu) or from the Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture's Bureau of Plant Industry (www.agriculture.state.pa.us).

Where trade names appear, no discrimination is intended, and no endorsement by Cornell Cooperative Extension or Penn State Cooperative Extension is implied.

These guidelines are not a substitute for pesticide labeling. Always read and understand the product label before using any pesticide.

The guidelines in this bulletin reflect the current (and past) authors' best efforts to interpret a complex body of scientific research, and to translate this into practical management options. Following the guidance provided in this bulletin does not assure compliance with any applicable law, rule, regulation or standard, or the achievement of particular discharge levels from agricultural land.

1 PESTICIDE INFORMATION	1
1.1 Pesticide Classification and Certification	1
1.2 Use Pesticides Properly	1
1.3 Pollinator Protection	
1.4 New York State Pesticide Use Restrictions	
1.5 Verifying Pesticide Registration	
1.6 Check Label for Site and Pest	
1.7 Pesticide Recordkeeping/Reporting	
1.8 EPA Worker Protection Standard (WPS) for Agricultural Pesticides	
1.9 Reduced-risk Pesticides, Minimum-risk Pesticides, and Biopesticides	
2 INTRODUCTION	6
2.1 Overview	
2.2 Specific Fungicide, Insecticide, and Herbicide Information	
2.3 How to Develop a Vineyard Pest Management Strategy	7
2.4 Using NEWA Resources in a Vineyard Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Strategy	8
2.5 Growth Stages Critical to Grape Pest Management	
2.6 Cornell Publications on Weeds, Insects and Diseases of Grapevines	
3 VINEYARD DISEASE MANAGEMENT	12
3.1 Pest Information – Diseases	
Table 3.1.1 Relative disease susceptibility and sensitivity to sulfur and copper among grape varieties ¹	
Table 3.1.2 Hours of leaf wetness required for black rot infection to occur, at various temperatures following	
Table 3.1.3 Approximate generation period for powdery mildew (time from infection by a spore until product	
colony with new spores) at different constant temperatures ^a	
3.2 Fungicide Information	
Table 3.2.1 Physical modes of action of and resistance risk of fungicides used in management of grape disease	es ¹ 48
Table 3.2.2 Effectiveness of fungicides for management of grape diseases ¹	50
Table 5.2.5 Relative doses of individual active niglections provided by combination products when applied	
rates	52
rates	
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT	54
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT	 54
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT. 4.1 Pest Information – Insects. 4.2 Insecticide Information.	54 54
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT	54 54 58
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT	54 54 64 65
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT	54 58 64 65
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT	54 58 64 65 67
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT	
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT	
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT	
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT	
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT	
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT	
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT	
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT. 4.1 Pest Information – Insects 4.2 Insecticide Information Table 4.2.1 Effectiveness of insecticides for management of grape insects and mites. Table 4.2.2 Insecticides for use in New York and Pennsylvania vineyards 5 PEST MANAGEMENT SCHEDULES FOR DISEASES AND MAJOR AND MINOR INSECTS. 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Pest Management Schedules for Diseases and Major Insects 5.2.1 DORMANT 5.2.2 DELAYED DORMANT 5.2.3 BUD SWELL 5.2.4 1-INCH SHOOT GROWTH (when the average shoot length is 1 inch) 5.2.5 3- to 5-INCH SHOOT GROWTH (new shoots are 3-5 inches long) 5.2.6 10- to 12-INCH SHOOT GROWTH (new shoots are 10-12 inches long) 5.2.7 IMMEDIATE PREBLOOM (just before blossoms open)	54546567676767676767687083
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT 4.1 Pest Information — Insects 4.2 Insecticide Information Table 4.2.1 Effectiveness of insecticides for management of grape insects and mites. Table 4.2.2 Insecticides for use in New York and Pennsylvania vineyards 5 PEST MANAGEMENT SCHEDULES FOR DISEASES AND MAJOR AND MINOR INSECTS 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Pest Management Schedules for Diseases and Major Insects 5.2.1 DORMANT 5.2.2 DELAYED DORMANT 5.2.3 BUD SWELL 5.2.4 1-INCH SHOOT GROWTH (when the average shoot length is 1 inch) 5.2.5 3- to 5-INCH SHOOT GROWTH (new shoots are 3-5 inches long) 5.2.6 10- to 12-INCH SHOOT GROWTH (new shoots are 10-12 inches long) 5.2.7 IMMEDIATE PREBLOOM (just before blossoms open) 5.2.8 BLOOM (when 80-90% of the caps have fallen)	54546567676767676768708393
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT. 4.1 Pest Information – Insects 4.2 Insecticide Information Table 4.2.1 Effectiveness of insecticides for management of grape insects and mites. Table 4.2.2 Insecticides for use in New York and Pennsylvania vineyards. 5 PEST MANAGEMENT SCHEDULES FOR DISEASES AND MAJOR AND MINOR INSECTS 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Pest Management Schedules for Diseases and Major Insects 5.2.1 DORMANT 5.2.2 DELAYED DORMANT 5.2.3 BUD SWELL 5.2.4 1-INCH SHOOT GROWTH (when the average shoot length is 1 inch) 5.2.5 3- to 5-INCH SHOOT GROWTH (new shoots are 3-5 inches long) 5.2.6 10- to 12-INCH SHOOT GROWTH (new shoots are 10-12 inches long) 5.2.7 IMMEDIATE PREBLOOM (just before blossoms open) 5.2.8 BLOOM (when 80-90% of the caps have fallen). 5.2.9 FIRST POSTBLOOM SPRAY (10-14 days after immediate prebloom)	545465676767676768708393
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT	
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT	
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT 4.1 Pest Information — Insects 4.2 Insecticide Information Table 4.2.1 Effectiveness of insecticides for management of grape insects and mites. Table 4.2.2 Insecticides for use in New York and Pennsylvania vineyards. 5 PEST MANAGEMENT SCHEDULES FOR DISEASES AND MAJOR AND MINOR INSECTS 5.1 Introduction. 5.2 Pest Management Schedules for Diseases and Major Insects. 5.2.1 DORMANT. 5.2.2 DELAYED DORMANT. 5.2.3 BUD SWELL. 5.2.4 1-INCH SHOOT GROWTH (when the average shoot length is 1 inch) 5.2.5 3 - to 5-INCH SHOOT GROWTH (new shoots are 3-5 inches long). 5.2.6 10- to 12-INCH SHOOT GROWTH (new shoots are 10-12 inches long). 5.2.7 IMMEDIATE PREBLOOM (just before blossoms open). 5.2.8 BLOOM (when 80-90% of the caps have fallen). 5.2.9 FIRST POSTBLOOM SPRAY (10-14 days after immediate prebloom). 5.2.10 SECOND POSTBLOOM SPRAY (7-14 days after first postbloom spray). 5.2.11 MIDSUMMER SPRAYS (July and August, as necessary). 5.2.12 PRE-HARVEST (Veraison to harvest period).	
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT 4.1 Pest Information – Insects 4.2 Insecticide Information Table 4.2.1 Effectiveness of insecticides for management of grape insects and mites. Table 4.2.2 Insecticides for use in New York and Pennsylvania vineyards. 5 PEST MANAGEMENT SCHEDULES FOR DISEASES AND MAJOR AND MINOR INSECTS 5.1 Introduction. 5.2 Pest Management Schedules for Diseases and Major Insects 5.2.1 DORMANT 5.2.2 DELAYED DORMANT 5.2.3 BUD SWELL. 5.2.4 1-INCH SHOOT GROWTH (when the average shoot length is 1 inch) 5.2.5 3- to 5-INCH SHOOT GROWTH (new shoots are 3-5 inches long). 5.2.6 10- to 12-INCH SHOOT GROWTH (new shoots are 10-12 inches long). 5.2.7 IMMEDIATE PREBLOOM (just before blossoms open). 5.2.8 BLOOM (when 80-90% of the caps have fallen). 5.2.9 FIRST POSTBLOOM SPRAY (10-14 days after immediate prebloom). 5.2.10 SECOND POSTBLOOM SPRAY (7-14 days after first postbloom spray). 5.2.11 MIDSUMMER SPRAYS (July and August, as necessary). 5.2.12 PRE-HARVEST (Veraison to harvest period) 5.2.13 POSTHARVEST	
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT	
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT	
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT	
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT	
4 VINEYARD INSECT & MITE MANAGEMENT	

6.1 Introduction 133 6.2 Resources 133 6.3 Effective Use of Herbicides 133 6.4 Preemergence Herbicides 134 6.5 Postemergence Herbicides 137 6.6 Herbicides for Nonbearing Vineyards 139 6.7 Specialty Use Herbicides 142 7 SPRAYER TECHNOLOGY 145 7.1 Solutions for Safer Spraying – Engineering Controls 145 7.2 Preparing the Airblast Sprayer for Work 146 7.3 Sprayer Calibration 147 7.4 Spray Nozzle Types 150 7.5 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue – Airblast Sprayers 150 7.6 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue –Boom Sprayers 152 7.7 Equipment for Weed Control in Vineyards 153 7.8 Drift Management Strategies 154 7.9 Reducing Drift from Airblast Sprayers 155 7.10 Going Spraying! 156 7.11. Cleaning Sprayers 155 7.12 Spraying Small Vineyards 162 7.13 Application Equipment Distance Learning 165 8 PESTICIDES FOR NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA VINEYARDS 166	6 VINEYARD WEED MANAGEMENT	133
6.3 Effective Use of Herbicides 133 6.4 Preemergence Herbicides 134 6.5 Postemergence Herbicides 137 6.6 Herbicides for Nonbearing Vineyards 139 6.7 Specialty Use Herbicides 142 7 SPRAYER TECHNOLOGY 145 7.1 Solutions for Safer Spraying – Engineering Controls 145 7.2 Preparing the Airblast Sprayer for Work 146 7.3 Sprayer Calibration 147 7.4 Spray Nozzle Types 150 7.5 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue – Airblast Sprayers 150 7.6 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue –Boom Sprayers 152 7.7 Equipment for Weed Control in Vineyards 153 7.8 Drift Management Strategies 154 7.9 Reducing Drift from Airblast Sprayers 155 7.10 Going Spraying! 155 7.11 Cleaning Sprayers 155 7.12 Spraying Small Vineyards 162 7.13 Application Equipment Distance Learning 165 8 PESTICIDES FOR NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA VINEYARDS 166		
6.4 Preemergence Herbicides 134 6.5 Postemergence Herbicides 137 6.6 Herbicides for Nonbearing Vineyards 139 6.7 Specialty Use Herbicides 142 7 SPRAYER TECHNOLOGY 145 7.1 Solutions for Safer Spraying – Engineering Controls 145 7.2 Preparing the Airblast Sprayer for Work 146 7.3 Sprayer Calibration 147 7.4 Spray Nozzle Types 150 7.5 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue – Airblast Sprayers 150 7.6 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue –Boom Sprayers 152 7.7 Equipment for Weed Control in Vineyards 153 7.8 Drift Management Strategies 154 7.9 Reducing Drift from Airblast Sprayers 155 7.10 Going Spraying! 156 7.11 Cleaning Sprayers 155 7.12 Spraying Small Vineyards 162 7.13 Application Equipment Distance Learning 165 8 PESTICIDES FOR NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA VINEYARDS 166	6.2 Resources	133
6.5 Postemergence Herbicides 137 6.6 Herbicides for Nonbearing Vineyards 139 6.7 Specialty Use Herbicides 142 7 SPRAYER TECHNOLOGY 145 7.1 Solutions for Safer Spraying – Engineering Controls 145 7.2 Preparing the Airblast Sprayer for Work 146 7.3 Sprayer Calibration 147 7.4 Spray Nozzle Types 150 7.5 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue – Airblast Sprayers 150 7.6 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue –Boom Sprayers 152 7.7 Equipment for Weed Control in Vineyards 153 7.8 Drift Management Strategies 153 7.9 Reducing Drift from Airblast Sprayers 155 7.10 Going Spraying! 155 7.11. Cleaning Sprayers 155 7.12 Spraying Small Vineyards 162 7.13 Application Equipment Distance Learning 165 8 PESTICIDES FOR NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA VINEYARDS 166 8.1 Herbicides 166	6.3 Effective Use of Herbicides	133
6.5 Postemergence Herbicides 137 6.6 Herbicides for Nonbearing Vineyards 139 6.7 Specialty Use Herbicides 142 7 SPRAYER TECHNOLOGY 145 7.1 Solutions for Safer Spraying – Engineering Controls 145 7.2 Preparing the Airblast Sprayer for Work 146 7.3 Sprayer Calibration 147 7.4 Spray Nozzle Types 150 7.5 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue – Airblast Sprayers 150 7.6 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue –Boom Sprayers 152 7.7 Equipment for Weed Control in Vineyards 153 7.8 Drift Management Strategies 153 7.9 Reducing Drift from Airblast Sprayers 155 7.10 Going Spraying! 155 7.11. Cleaning Sprayers 155 7.12 Spraying Small Vineyards 162 7.13 Application Equipment Distance Learning 165 8 PESTICIDES FOR NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA VINEYARDS 166 8.1 Herbicides 166	6.4 Preemergence Herbicides	134
6.6 Herbicides for Nonbearing Vineyards 139 6.7 Specialty Use Herbicides 142 7 SPRAYER TECHNOLOGY 145 7.1 Solutions for Safer Spraying – Engineering Controls 145 7.2 Preparing the Airblast Sprayer for Work 146 7.3 Sprayer Calibration 147 7.4 Spray Nozzle Types 150 7.5 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue – Airblast Sprayers 150 7.6 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue –Boom Sprayers 152 7.7 Equipment for Weed Control in Vineyards 153 7.8 Drift Management Strategies 154 7.9 Reducing Drift from Airblast Sprayers 155 7.10 Going Spraying! 156 7.11. Cleaning Sprayers 159 7.12 Spraying Small Vineyards 162 7.13 Application Equipment Distance Learning 165 8 PESTICIDES FOR NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA VINEYARDS 166 8.1 Herbicides 166		
6.7 Specialty Use Herbicides 142 7 SPRAYER TECHNOLOGY 145 7.1 Solutions for Safer Spraying – Engineering Controls 145 7.2 Preparing the Airblast Sprayer for Work 146 7.3 Sprayer Calibration 147 7.4 Spray Nozzle Types 150 7.5 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue – Airblast Sprayers 150 7.6 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue –Boom Sprayers 152 7.7 Equipment for Weed Control in Vineyards 153 7.8 Drift Management Strategies 154 7.9 Reducing Drift from Airblast Sprayers 155 7.10 Going Spraying! 156 7.11. Cleaning Sprayers 159 7.12 Spraying Small Vineyards 162 7.13 Application Equipment Distance Learning 165 8 PESTICIDES FOR NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA VINEYARDS 166 8.1 Herbicides 166		
7.1 Solutions for Safer Spraying – Engineering Controls1457.2 Preparing the Airblast Sprayer for Work1467.3 Sprayer Calibration1477.4 Spray Nozzle Types1507.5 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue – Airblast Sprayers1507.6 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue –Boom Sprayers1527.7 Equipment for Weed Control in Vineyards1537.8 Drift Management Strategies1547.9 Reducing Drift from Airblast Sprayers1557.10 Going Spraying!1567.11. Cleaning Sprayers1597.12 Spraying Small Vineyards1627.13 Application Equipment Distance Learning1658 PESTICIDES FOR NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA VINEYARDS1668.1 Herbicides166		
7.1 Solutions for Safer Spraying – Engineering Controls1457.2 Preparing the Airblast Sprayer for Work1467.3 Sprayer Calibration1477.4 Spray Nozzle Types1507.5 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue – Airblast Sprayers1507.6 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue –Boom Sprayers1527.7 Equipment for Weed Control in Vineyards1537.8 Drift Management Strategies1547.9 Reducing Drift from Airblast Sprayers1557.10 Going Spraying!1567.11. Cleaning Sprayers1597.12 Spraying Small Vineyards1627.13 Application Equipment Distance Learning1658 PESTICIDES FOR NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA VINEYARDS1668.1 Herbicides166	7 SPRAYER TECHNOLOGY	145
7.2 Preparing the Airblast Sprayer for Work 146 7.3 Sprayer Calibration 147 7.4 Spray Nozzle Types 150 7.5 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue – Airblast Sprayers 150 7.6 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue –Boom Sprayers 152 7.7 Equipment for Weed Control in Vineyards 153 7.8 Drift Management Strategies 154 7.9 Reducing Drift from Airblast Sprayers 155 7.10 Going Spraying! 156 7.11. Cleaning Sprayers 159 7.12 Spraying Small Vineyards 162 7.13 Application Equipment Distance Learning 165 8 PESTICIDES FOR NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA VINEYARDS 166 8.1 Herbicides 166		
7.3 Sprayer Calibration1477.4 Spray Nozzle Types1507.5 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue – Airblast Sprayers1507.6 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue –Boom Sprayers1527.7 Equipment for Weed Control in Vineyards1537.8 Drift Management Strategies1547.9 Reducing Drift from Airblast Sprayers1557.10 Going Spraying!1567.11. Cleaning Sprayers1597.12 Spraying Small Vineyards1627.13 Application Equipment Distance Learning1658 PESTICIDES FOR NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA VINEYARDS1668.1 Herbicides166		
7.4 Spray Nozzle Types1507.5 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue – Airblast Sprayers1507.6 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue –Boom Sprayers1527.7 Equipment for Weed Control in Vineyards1537.8 Drift Management Strategies1547.9 Reducing Drift from Airblast Sprayers1557.10 Going Spraying!1567.11. Cleaning Sprayers1597.12 Spraying Small Vineyards1627.13 Application Equipment Distance Learning1658 PESTICIDES FOR NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA VINEYARDS1668.1 Herbicides166		
7.5 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue – Airblast Sprayers1507.6 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue –Boom Sprayers1527.7 Equipment for Weed Control in Vineyards1537.8 Drift Management Strategies1547.9 Reducing Drift from Airblast Sprayers1557.10 Going Spraying!1567.11. Cleaning Sprayers1597.12 Spraying Small Vineyards1627.13 Application Equipment Distance Learning1658 PESTICIDES FOR NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA VINEYARDS1668.1 Herbicides166	1 7	
7.6 Selecting Nozzles from the Nozzle Catalogue –Boom Sprayers 152 7.7 Equipment for Weed Control in Vineyards 153 7.8 Drift Management Strategies 154 7.9 Reducing Drift from Airblast Sprayers 155 7.10 Going Spraying! 156 7.11. Cleaning Sprayers 159 7.12 Spraying Small Vineyards 162 7.13 Application Equipment Distance Learning 165 8 PESTICIDES FOR NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA VINEYARDS 166 8.1 Herbicides 166		
7.7 Equipment for Weed Control in Vineyards 153 7.8 Drift Management Strategies 154 7.9 Reducing Drift from Airblast Sprayers 155 7.10 Going Spraying! 156 7.11. Cleaning Sprayers 159 7.12 Spraying Small Vineyards 162 7.13 Application Equipment Distance Learning 165 8 PESTICIDES FOR NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA VINEYARDS 166 8.1 Herbicides 166		
7.8 Drift Management Strategies 154 7.9 Reducing Drift from Airblast Sprayers 155 7.10 Going Spraying! 156 7.11. Cleaning Sprayers 159 7.12 Spraying Small Vineyards 162 7.13 Application Equipment Distance Learning 165 8 PESTICIDES FOR NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA VINEYARDS 166 8.1 Herbicides 166		
7.9 Reducing Drift from Airblast Sprayers 155 7.10 Going Spraying! 156 7.11. Cleaning Sprayers 159 7.12 Spraying Small Vineyards 162 7.13 Application Equipment Distance Learning 165 8 PESTICIDES FOR NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA VINEYARDS 166 8.1 Herbicides 166		
7.10 Going Spraying! 156 7.11. Cleaning Sprayers 159 7.12 Spraying Small Vineyards 162 7.13 Application Equipment Distance Learning 165 8 PESTICIDES FOR NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA VINEYARDS 166 8.1 Herbicides 166		
7.11. Cleaning Sprayers 159 7.12 Spraying Small Vineyards 162 7.13 Application Equipment Distance Learning 165 8 PESTICIDES FOR NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA VINEYARDS 166 8.1 Herbicides 166		
7.12 Spraying Small Vineyards		
7.13 Application Equipment Distance Learning		
8.1 Herbicides		
8.1 Herbicides	8 PESTICIDES FOR NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA VINEYARDS	166
8.2 Insecticides and acaricides	8.2 Insecticides and acaricides	
8.3 Fungicides		

1 Pesticide Information

1.1 Pesticide Classification and Certification

Pesticides can be classified as general-use or restricted-use. **General-use pesticides** may be purchased and used by anyone. **Restricted-use pesticides** can only be purchased and used by a certified applicator or used by someone under a certified applicator's supervision. In some cases, the pesticide label may limit use of a restricted-use pesticide to only a certified applicator.

Private applicators use or supervise the use of pesticides to produce agricultural commodities or forest crops on land owned or rented by the private applicator or their employer. If a private applicator wants to use or supervise the use of restricted-use pesticides, they need to be a **certified private applicator**. Certified private applicators are also allowed to purchase restricted-use pesticides. Certification is not needed if a private applicator uses general-use pesticides.

In New York State, a **certified commercial applicator**, **certified commercial technician**, or **commercial apprentice** working under the supervision of a certified commercial applicator is allowed to apply any type of pesticide on property that is not a private application (described above) or is a residential application. (A residential application is the use of general-use pesticides on property owned or rented by the applicator, excluding establishments selling or processing food and residential structures other than where the applicator lives.) Certified commercial applicators are allowed to purchase restricted-use pesticides.

Information on pesticide certification and classification is available from your Cornell Cooperative Extension office (cce.cornell.edu/localoffices), regional NYSDEC pesticide specialist (www.dec.ny.gov/about/558.html), the Pesticide Applicator Training Manuals (www.cornellstore.com/books/cornell-cooperative-ext-pmep-manuals), or the Cornell Cooperative Extension Pesticide Safety Education Program (psep.cce.cornell.edu).

1.2 Use Pesticides Properly

Using pesticides requires the user to protect their health, the health of others, and the environment. Keep in mind "pesticide use" is more than just the application. It includes mixing, loading, transporting, storing, or handling pesticides after the manufacturer's seal is broken; cleaning pesticide application equipment; and preparing a container for disposal. These activities require thoughtful planning and preparation. They also require you to comply with state and federal laws and regulations intended to protect human health and the environment from the adverse effects pesticides may cause.

1.2.1 Plan Ahead

Many safety precautions should be taken *before* you begin using pesticides. Most pesticide accidents can be prevented with informed and careful practices. Always read the label on the pesticide container before using the pesticide. Make sure you understand and can follow all label directions and precautions. Be prepared to handle an emergency exposure or spill. Know the first aid procedures for the pesticides you use.

1.2.2 Move Pesticides Safely

Transporting pesticides carelessly can result in broken containers, spills, and contamination of people and the environment. Accidents can occur even when transporting pesticides a short distance. You are responsible for a pesticide accident so take every effort to transport pesticides safely. Be prepared for an emergency.

1.2.3 Personal Protective Equipment and Engineering Controls

Personal protective equipment (PPE) needs depend on the pesticide being handled. Required PPE are listed on pesticide labels. Any required PPE is based on the pesticide's toxicity, route(s) of exposure, and formulation. Label-listed PPE are the minimum that must be worn when using a pesticide. You can always use more than what's listed!

The type of PPE used depends on the type and duration of the activity, where pesticides are being used, and the user's exposure. For example, mixing/loading procedures often require more PPE than when applying a pesticide. Studies show you are at a greater risk of accidental poisoning when handling pesticide concentrates. Pouring pesticide concentrates is the most hazardous activity.

Engineering controls are devices that help reduce a pesticide user's exposure. An example is a closed transfer system that reduces the exposure risk when dispensing pesticide concentrates. Consult the product label for more information on using engineering controls in place of PPE.

1.2.4 Avoid Drift, Runoff, and Spills

Pesticides that move out of the target area can injure people, damage non-target areas, and harm the environment. Choose weather conditions, pesticides, application equipment, pressure, droplet size, formulations, and adjuvants to minimize drift and runoff potential. Product labels may have specific application and/or equipment requirements to reduce issues with drift and runoff.

2 Introduction

2.1 Overview

How do you decide which pest management strategy is right for you? The variety of choices can be daunting: integrated pest management, sustainable, integrated crop management, organic, best management practices, biodynamics, or traditional, just to name a few. However, what it boils down to is that it doesn't really matter what you call your pest management strategy. Consider which practices work best for you in a particular vineyard. Take the best tools from each of the different programs to provide you with the management strategy that fits your needs and pocketbook.

The one component that every successful pest management strategy shares is information. By gathering and compiling the right information, you will be able to make the best choices in putting together your vineyard pest management strategy.

2.2 Specific Fungicide, Insecticide, and Herbicide Information

The following materials have been registered for the control of certain insects, diseases, and weeds affecting grapes. The trade name and (common) name are given, as well as selected uses for each material. The signal word associated with each pesticide indicates its relative level of toxicity. High toxicity indicates that small quantities of the chemical may cause serious illness or death.

2.2.1 Pesticide Toxicity

The toxicity of a chemical typically is measured with a Lethal Dose 50 (LD50) value. This value is the dosage necessary to kill 50 percent of a laboratory population of test animals (rats, mice, or rabbits). These toxicity values may be expressed in terms of a single dosage in milligrams per kilogram of body weight. LD50 values are useful in comparing different pesticides, as the degree of hazard to a person handling a pesticide is directly related to toxicity.

The following classification was established to aid users of pesticide chemicals:

High toxicity: Acute oral LD50 from a trace to 50 mg/kg. From 4 to 100 drops (1 teaspoon) of technical pesticide may be lethal to a 150-pound person. Label must carry signal word DANGER. A skull and crossbones on the label indicates poison.

Moderate toxicity: Acute oral LD50 from 50 to 500 mg/kg. From 1 teaspoon to 1 ounce of technical pesticide may be lethal to a 150-pound person. Label must carry signal word WARNING.

Low toxicity: Acute oral LD50 greater than 500 mg/kg. From 1 ounce to 1 pint of technical pesticide may be lethal to a 150-pound person. Label must carry signal word CAUTION.

2.2.2 Pesticide Compatibility

Some pesticides are unstable or incompatible under alkaline conditions. Well or pond water used to fill sprayers can be alkaline, and pH should be tested and adjusted if over 7.0. Do not apply tank-mix combinations unless your previous experience indicates the mixture is effective and will not result in application problems or plant injury. If tank-mix compatibilities are unknown, the mixture should be combined in the proper proportions using a jar test. CAUTION: Fixed copper formulations and lime should not be used with Captan, Imidan, or Sevin.

2.2.3 Adjuvants with Fungicides and Insecticides

The addition of adjuvants (spreader-stickers, penetrants, etc.) to spray mixtures of fungicides and insecticides is not recommended unless suggested on the pesticide label or supported by reliable data. Many fungicide and insecticide formulations already include an adjuvant; thus, addition of another adjuvant is often counterproductive or a waste of money.

2.2.4 Pesticide Resistance Management

The ability of various fungicides, insecticides, and herbicides to control specific grape pests has been reduced (and, in some cases, eliminated) because pests developed resistance to these materials. Resistance occurs when some individual pests survive treatment with the pesticide. They multiply and pass this resistance on to their young. Because individuals that are controlled by the chemical die, or reproduce poorly, over time the population becomes dominated by individuals that are resistant to it. This process is favored by a number of factors, the most common of which are:

- 1. Multiple applications of a single product or class of compounds (strobilurin fungicides, organophosphate insecticides, etc.);
- 2. An exclusive reliance on a single product (or class) to manage the pest;
- 3. Repeated treatments of large pest populations with the products (e.g., "rescue" treatments); and, in some cases
- 4. Attempts to manage the pests with very low rates of the products (either intentionally or due to poor spray coverage).

Although it's not possible to guarantee that resistance will never develop to any specific pesticide, ALL growers

site and selecting Grape Forecast models. Used on a regular basis, model information for the various pest models can assist in determining whether the spray interval for these diseases should be tightened or extended. The Grape Diseases forecasts operate like a mini-expert systems with disease management options developed by Wayne Wilcox (Department of Plant Pathology and Plant-Microbe Biology, Cornell University) and Juliet Carroll and Tim Weigle (NYS IPM Program). Being able to choose the current phenological stage of a vineyard, or portion of a vineyard, provides customized results for all the different varieties in a vineyard operation.

The phenology-based degree-day model for grape berry moth found on NEWA was developed as a cooperative effort between research entomologists and extension staff at Cornell, Penn State and Michigan State Universities. This model uses wild grape bloom as a biofix date to start accumulation of degree-days and allows the date of wild grape bloom to be entered for customized results. While the model will provide a default date for wild grape bloom, the ability to enter the actual date wild grape bloom was observed makes the information provided by the model much more valuable.

Using the pest forecast model and weather information found on NEWA a vineyard IPM strategy can be developed that uses resources wisely while managing pest populations to a commercial level. NEWA combines knowledge of the

pests' life cycle and how weather conditions affect its development with current and historical weather data to generate infection event and insect development status and predictions or forecasts. Combining the results of models found on NEWA knowledge of pests by individual vineyard blocks, varietal susceptibility, and the materials used for managing the pests provides the basis for implementation a sound vineyard IPM strategy.

Other resources are available online, including:

Network for Environment and Weather Applications news.cornell.edu

2023 New York and Pennsylvania Pest Management Guidelines for Grapes:

cropandpestguides.cce.cornell.edu

New York State grape IPM insect and disease fact sheet database:

https://ecommons.cornell.edu/handle/1813/41246/disc over?query=%22NYS+IPM+Type:+Fruits+IPM+Fact +Sheet%22&submit=&rpp=10

Cornell Cooperative Extension Pesticide Safety Education Program (CCE-PSEP):

psep.cce.cornell.edu

Penn State Pesticide Education Program:

extension.psu.edu/insects-pests-and-diseases/pesticide-applicators

2.5 Growth Stages Critical to Grape Pest Management



bud swell

3 Vineyard Disease Management

3.1 Pest Information - Diseases

Several important insects and diseases that occur in New York and Pennsylvania vineyards are described below to help growers manage these pests with practices and pesticides appropriate for their production systems.

ANGULAR LEAF SCORCH was first described in 1985.

Symptoms of this fungal disease are similar to those of rotbrenner, a disease of grapevines found in the cool grape-growing regions of Europe, which is caused by a very closely related fungus. Angular leaf scorch occurs sporadically and is most likely to become a problem in years when high rainfall occurs between bud break and early summer, especially if this should happen in consecutive years. Riesling appears to be a particularly susceptible cultivar.

Disease symptoms occur mainly on the leaves and first appear as faint chlorotic spots. As these lesions grow larger, they change from yellow to reddish-brown and the margin often becomes sharply defined (depending on the cultivar, the margin may be yellow, red, or absent). Lesions are confined by major veins, becoming "angular" or wedge-shaped. They eventually kill the infected tissue, sometimes crossing the major veins in the process and often causing diseased leaves to fall prematurely.

The fungus survives winter in infected leaves on the vineyard floor. Mature spores are ready for discharge in spring when grape buds begin to grow. During rainfall, spores are released into the air from fruiting structures, and susceptible tissue is infected.

Cultural practices that increase air circulation through the canopy can shorten periods of leaf wetness that favor disease development. Destruction of leaf litter by cultivation, before bud break, can also reduce disease pressure. Where needed, effective fungicides applied from the 3-inch stage and continuing through fruit set will provide significant control. Although there are no specific labels for the control of this disease, mancozeb products (e.g., Dithane, Penncozeb) applied according to label directions to control Phomopsis, black rot, and downy mildew also have provided good control of angular leaf scorch in commercial experience. In Europe, the strobilurin fungicides (Abound, Flint, Pristine, *NY Sovran) have provided good control of the closely related disease, rotbrenner. However, experience with angular leaf scorch is lacking. The DMI fungicide, difenoconazole (Revus Top, Quadris Top; CAUTION: Quadris Top causes injury to Concord and some other cultivars) is labeled for control of rotbrenner and should provide significant post-infection control of this disease in addition to moderate protective activity (excellent protective activity for Quadris Top). See Table 3.1.1 for varietal susceptibility to this disease.

ANTHRACNOSE is a disease that occurs most commonly in years that are wet during the first half of the growing season, with damage typically limited to a few highly susceptible cultivars. In NY/PA, most outbreaks historically occurred on Vidal Blanc and a few seedless table grape varieties, especially Reliance. In recent years, however, there have been regular outbreaks on some of the newer cold-hardy cultivars that are gaining in popularity and expanding the geographical range of grape production. Marquette appears to be particularly susceptible, although Frontenac and La Crescent also have been affected. Some older cold-hardy cultivars (Edelweiss, Esprit, Brianna, St. Pepin, and Swenson White) also can be problematic. In some Midwestern states, Concord, Catawba, and Leon Millot have been reported as encountering problems, although such occurrences are rare in NY and PA. Symptoms occur on leaves, green shoots, and clusters. On leaves, numerous small, circular brown spots appear which later turn gray in the center and develop dark brown to black margins. In severe attacks, lesions may coalesce and cause large dead zones, distortion of the leaf blade, and eventually death of the entire leaf. Infected shoots develop dark, noticeably sunken lesions, typically on the first several internodes near the base of the new shoot. These lesions resemble the internode lesions typical of Phomopsis cane and leaf spot but they usually are more aggressive, expanding farther along the shoot and deeper into its center than those caused by Phomopsis. On berries, spots approximately 0.25-in in diameter develop, with whitishgray centers surrounded by reddish brown to black margins, sometimes producing an appearance that superficially resembles a bird's eye. Severely affected berries may shrivel and dry into mummies.

The fungus overwinters primarily on infected canes, although the previous year's berries can also be a source. In spring, spores are produced from the fungal structures on these sources and are dispersed by splashing raindrops to young, susceptible tissues, where they cause infection if wetness persists for a sufficient length of time. Temperatures in the mid-70s to mid-80s Fahrenheit (25-30°C) are optimal and require only 3 to 4 hours of leaf wetness for infection to occur. However, infection can also occur across a much wider range of temperatures, including those that typically prevail during the early growing season in upstate NY, if it remains wet for long enough. Additional spores, which also are splash dispersed, are produced from new infections, and these can rapidly spread the disease through multiple repeating cycles of new infection and additional spore production. Hence, outbreaks occur most frequently in years with multiple rain events early and mid-season. Young tissues are most susceptible, becoming resistant as they are mature; for example, berries become relatively resistant by about 7 weeks post-bloom.

	Disease susceptibility or chemical sensitivity ^a									
Variety	BR	DM	PM	Bot	Phom	Eu	CG	ALS	Sc	Cd
Seyval	++	++	+++	+++	+++	+	++	++	No	+
Steuben	++	+	+	+	?	?	+	++	Yes	?
Traminette	++	++	+	++	?	?	+	?	No	?
Valvin Muscat (NY62.0122.01)	++	+	++	+	?	?	+	?	No	?
Vanessa	+++	++	++	+	+	?	+	?	No	?
Ventura	++	++	++	+	+	?	+	+++	No	?
Vidal 256	+	++	++	+	+	+	++	+	No	+
Vignoles	+	++	+	+++	+++	++	++	++	No	?
Key: + Slightly susceptible or s	ensitive			No = Not	sensitive					

Table 3.1.1 Relative disease susceptibility and sensitivity to sulfur and copper among grape varieties¹.

++ Moderately susceptible or sensitive

Diseased canes should be pruned during the dormant season and removed from the vineyard or destroyed. If numerous infected berries remain on the vineyard floor, the spores originating from them can be largely neutralized by covering the berries with soil through cultivation or, if practical, covering them with mulch. Early-season sprays of mancozeb, captan, or ziram targeted against Phomopsis also provide significant control of anthracnose, although this latter disease is not listed as a target on most labels. Some DMI (Group 3) fungicides, e.g., difenoconazole (Revus Top, Quadris Top, and Inspire Super), myclobutanil (Rally), and tetraconazole (Mettle) are specifically labeled for anthracnose control. A "delayed dormant" application of lime sulfur can be useful in vineyards where the disease has become established and problematic to control and/or in "organic" vineyards where traditional fungicides are not used. This treatment limits the production of infectious spores from overwintered cankers but does not protect new growth from any spores that survive the application or are produced after its effects wear off.

BITTER ROT and RIPE ROT are two diseases that are common in states to the south of PA where temperatures are consistently warmer, although they also occur sporadically in NY and PA, especially in the southern regions of our two states. The two diseases are caused by different fungi, but both have similar biologies and respond to the same management practices. Bitter rot is active at somewhat lower temperatures than ripe rot and is the more regular threat in the mid-Atlantic region, although their geographical ranges overlap. In NY, bitter rot has been most problematic on Long Island, primarily in years with wet summer and pre-harvest periods

(particularly on Chardonnay). It has also been problematic in southeast PA in some years, and both diseases occur further north on occasion. Usually, bitter rot symptoms first develop after veraison, when the causal fungus moves into the berry from the infected berry stem and turns the diseased portion brown (on white varieties) or a dull purple. Once the berry is completely rotted, it becomes covered with numerous prominently raised black pustules (the fungal fruiting bodies, called acervuli). Within a few days, diseased berries soften and may drop; others shrivel into mummies that resemble those caused by black rot and Phomopsis. This late onset of symptom appearance is one feature that distinguishes bitter rot from black rot (virtually all black rot infections should be apparent by veraison); others include (i) the appearance of the fungal fruiting bodies on infected fruit (those of black rot are relatively small, round and uniform in size, whereas those of bitter rot are larger and much more pronounced, providing a bumpy texture to the berry); (ii) the tendency of black-rot infected fruit to remain attached to the berry stem when pulled gently, whereas those with bitter rot are more easily detached; and (iii) the tendency of fruit infected with bitter rot to leave hands sooty black if handled when wet, whereas those infected with black rot will leave hands clean. Berries infected with Phomopsis also tend to first appear during the preharvest period but are detached very easily when pulled, and the black fruiting bodies produced on rotten berries are relatively few and inconspicuous. Also, significant Phomopsis fruit rot typically occurs in association with significant visible infections of the cluster stem and of the shoots and petioles (leaf stems) near the bottom three to five leaf positions on shoots that bear diseased berries. An

^{? =} Relative susceptibility or sensitivity not established +++ Highly susceptible or sensitive

¹The relative ratings in this chart apply to an average growing season in NY and PA. Under conditions favorable for disease development, any given variety may be more severely affected.

a. BR=Black rot, DM=Downy mildew, PM=Powdery mildew, Bot=Botrytis, Phom=Phomopsis, Eu=Eutypa, CG=Crown gall, ALS=Angular Leaf Scorch, S=Sulfur, C=Copper

b. Berries only weakly susceptible

c. Slight to moderate sulfur injury may occur even on tolerant varieties when temperatures are 85F or higher during or immediately following the application

d. Copper is most likely to cause injury when applied under slow-drying conditions (cool or very humid).

BOTECTOR (Aureobasidium pullulans strains DSM

14940, 14941) – read the label *Signal word:* CAUTION

Chemical/fungicide family: biopesticide

Resistance Group Number: N/A

Resistance risk: low

Physical mode of action: protectant *Selected use:* Botrytis bunch rot

Comments: Botector is a preparation of a living yeast-like organism that competes with the Botrytis fungus for colonization sites on the grape flowers and berries. As such, it provides protective activity only (must be present and growing before the Botrytis fungus tries to infect), and this beneficial organism can be killed by some broad-spectrum fungicides if they are applied to manage other diseases (see company website for a current list of incompatible materials). Botector has provided fair to good control in a limited number of NY trials under moderate disease pressure conditions, and provided poor control under heavy and moderate pressure in a limited number of PA trials. It has a 4-hr REI and up to day of harvest PHI.

CAPTAN 50WP, CAPTAN 80WDG, CAPTAN 4L,

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{others} & (captan)-read the label \\ \end{tabular}$

Signal word: varies - see label

Medical emergency: consult the label Chemical/fungicide family: phthalimides

Resistance Group Number: N/A

Resistance risk: low

Physical mode of action: protectant

Selected uses: Phomopsis cane and leaf spot, downy

mildew, anthracnose, bitter rot, ripe rot

Comments: There are a number of different captan products on the market, and REIs vary among them (often 48 hr for 50WP and 4L formulations, 72 hr for 80WDG formulations). Consult and follow the label of the particular formulation you're using. Do not apply more than 24 lb/A/season of Captan 50WP or more than 15 lb/A/ season of Captan 80WDG. It is illegal to apply Captan "during, with, or following" a spray of JMS Stylet Oil (danger of plant injury). There is also a danger if the oil is applied within 10 days after a Captan spray. Captan may similarly cause plant injury if applied with or near other oils or products that cause its uptake into the leaves and fruit (e.g., some liquid insecticides and surfactants). Lime should not be used with Captan, or fungicide activity may be reduced. The use of Captan is restricted or not permitted by certain processors and export markets. Check with your processor before applying Captan.

*NY+CEVYA 3.3 SC (mefentrifluconazole) –

RESTRICTED-USE PESTICIDE IN NY - read the label

Signal word: CAUTION

Medical emergency: (800) 832-4357

Chemical/fungicide family: sterol inhibitor [DMI

subgroup]

Resistance Group Numbers: 3

Resistance risk: moderate

Physical mode of action: post-infection, antisporulant,

limited protectant

Selected uses: black rot, powdery mildew

Comments: *NY†Cevya is a relatively new DMI fungicide that is registered for use on grapes. In NY and PA trials, *NY†Cevya has been very effective at controlling powdery mildew. Though this product is labeled for black rot and does have efficacy against that disease, data in the Eastern US are limited. Nevertheless, in two years of trials at Penn State University, *NY†Cevya was highly effective against black rot when used at label rates of 4 and 5 fl oz/A, providing complete control of the disease under moderate to heavy pressure. Note that a label expansion in 2022 removed the varietal restriction on *NY†Cevya. Also note the following label restrictions for Table/Raisin and Wine Grapes according to the label:

Grapes, Table & Raisin

- Rate/A /Application 4 fl ozs
- Rate/A /Maximum/year 8 fl ozs
- PHI 14
- Spray Interval Apply before the onset of disease and on a minimum interval of 10 days.

Use Restrictions for Grapes, Table & Raisin

- DO NOT apply more than 4 fl ozs (0.10 lb mefentrifluconazole) per acre per application.
- DO NOT make more than 2 applications per year.
- DO NOT apply more than 8 fl ozs (0.20 lb mefentrifluconazole) per acre per year.
- DO NOT apply more than a cumulative total of 0.20 lb ai/acre/year of mefentrifluconazole-containing products.
- Mixing *NY†Cevya fungicide with other products may infrequently cause leaf injury on *Vitus labrusca* and *V. labrusca* hybrid grape varieties. This foliar injury is cosmetic, occurs only on leaves and does not affect fruit quality or yield. Not all varieties have been thoroughly tested. Consult a BASF representative for more information concerning *Vitus labrusca* and related variety sensitivity.

Grapes, Wine - Black Rot, Phomopsis, Powdery Mildew -

- Rate/A /Application 4 to 5 fl ozs
- Rate/A /Maximum/year 15 fl ozs
- PHI 14
- Spray Interval Apply before the onset of disease and on a minimum interval of 10 days.

Table 3.2.2 Effectiveness of fungicides for management of grape diseases1. (continued

Fungicide	Phomopsis cane and leaf spot	Black rot	Downy mildew	Powdery mildew	Botrytis bunch rot
flutriafol (†Rhyme)	0	++++	0	+++f	0
flutriafol + azoxystrobin (†Topguard EQ) ^a	+	++++	++ ^a	+++a, f	+
iprodione (Rovral, Meteor) ^g	0	0	0	0	++++g
kresoxim-methyl (*NYSovran)	++	++++	++ a	++++a	++
mancozeb (Dithane, Manzate, Penncozeb)	++++	+++	+++	+	0
mandestrobin (*NY†Intuity)	0	0	0	+	+++
mandipropamid (Revus)	0	0	++++	0	0
mandipropamid + difenoconazole (Revus Top)	0/+?	++++	++++	++++ ^f	0
mefanoxam (Ridomil) ^d	d	d	++++	d	0
mefentrifluconazole (*NY†Cevya)	?	++++	0	++++	0
metrafenone (Vivando)	0	0	0	++++	0
myclobutanil (Rally) ^f	0	++++	0	+++f	0
phosphorous acid (various formulations)	0	0	+++	0	0
polyoxin D (Oso, Ph-D)	?	0	0	$++^k$	++
potassium salts (Nutrol, Kaligreen, ^Armicarb 100, Milstop)	0	0	0	++	0
Pseudomonas chlororaphis strain AFS009 (Howler)	0	0	++	++	++
pydiflumetofen + fludioxonil (*NY†Miravis Prime)	?	++++	0	++++	+++
pyrimethanil (Scala)	0	0	0	+?	++++
quinoxyfen (Quintec)	0	0	0	++++	0
Reynoutria sachalinensis extract (Regalia)	?	?	0	++/+++ ^k	0
spray oil (JMS Stylet, PureSpray)	0	0	0	+++	0
sulfur (several formulations) ^e	+	0	0	+++e	0
tebuconazole (various formulations) ^f	0	++++	0	+++f	0
tetraconazole (Mettle)	?	++++	0	+++f	0
trifloxystrobin (Flint Extra)	++	++++	+ a	++++a	++/+++b
triflumizole (*NYViticure, *NYProcure, *NY Trionic)f	0	++?	0	+++f	0
ziram	++++	+++	++	0	0
zoxamide + mancozeb (*NYGavel)	++h	$++^h$	+++	+	0

¹These ratings are relative rankings, based on standard application rates, good spray coverage, and proper spray timing. Actual levels of disease control will be influenced by these factors in addition to varietal susceptibility and disease pressure.

Key: ++++ excellent +++ good ++ moderate + slight 0 not effective

Notes:

- a. NOTE: Powdery mildew (PM) resistance to the strobilurin (Group 11) fungicides has occurred in multiple vineyards, sometimes resulting in significant crop loss. Downy mildew (DM) resistance to these fungicides also appears to be widespread, and has caused significant crop loss as well. Thus, it is now risky to rely on strobilurin fungicides for control of either powdery or downy mildew. When such resistance occurs, none of the Group 11 fungicides will provide commercial control of the affected disease if applied alone, and they must be combined with an effective rate of an unrelated fungicide to avoid potential crop loss. "Pre-mixed" strobilurin products such as Pristine, Quadris Top, †Topguard EQ, and *NY†Luna Sensation include an unrelated active ingredient that has good activity against powdery mildew but NO activity against downy mildew.
- b. Fair control at the lower rate labeled for powdery mildew, good to excellent control at the higher rate labeled for other diseases.
- c. Refers to fixed copper formulations listed in Table 8.3. Most insecticides labeled for use on grapes are incompatible with lime, which is often mixed with these products. Check insecticide label for incompatibility with alkaline spray materials. See New York Food and Life Sciences Bulletin No. 118 (www.nysaes.cornell.edu/pubs/fls/OCRPDF/118.pdf) for information on effects of alkaline hydrolysis on pesticides.

Table continued on next page.

4 Vineyard Insect & Mite Management

4.1 Pest Information - Insects

BANDED GRAPE BUG is a sporadic pest of grapes in the Finger Lakes and Lake Erie regions and does not require treatment in most years. Nymphs of this insect emerge in the spring and feed on flowers and young berries, using their sucking and piercing mouth parts. The nymphs range in size from 1/8- to 1/2-inch in length, depending on the stage. Injury by small nymphs, occurring between 3- to 5-inch shoot growth (around May 15) and early June, results in floret drop, reduced berry set, and fewer clusters. Subsequent feeding by larger nymphs and adults does not affect cluster development. Economic injury can occur when more than 1 nymph per 10 shoots are present. This injury only occurs in the prebloom stages. Subsequent feeding by nymphs does not reduce berry set. Adults appear to be predaceous and do not cause injury to berries. Look for nymphs on grape clusters and shoot tips prior to the bloom period. They can be recognized by their long, banded antennae.

BROWN MARMORATED STINK BUG (BMSB) is a

new invasive species in New York that may present problems for grapes. This stink bug, originally from Asia, was first observed in PA and has spread to many regions and has become particularly abundant in the mid-Atlantic states. It is present in NY and PA grape-growing regions, although at this time at relatively low numbers. BMSB uses its sucking mouthparts to feed on reproductive structures of many different crop plants, including grapes. At high densities, damage can be extensive. BMSB also produces strong odors that have the potential of tainting grape juice. Recent research indicates the offending compounds are not very stable and break down during fermentation. Even without fermentation, odors are relatively unstable and may not be of significant concern except when consumed close to harvest.

CLIMBING CUTWORMS are known to feed on grapes. Larvae hide in the soil litter below the grape trellis and climb onto vines on warm nights to feed on developing primary buds. Only during bud swell are cutworms able to inflict serious damage to a vineyard. To examine vines for cutworms, search under the bark and in the soil litter beneath a vine with damaged buds, or search the vine with a flashlight after dark.

EUROPEAN CORN BORER is an important

lepidopteran pest of corn, but it is also known to feed on over 200 other plant species, including grapes. Corn borer problems are rare, but under some circumstances, may require management. They are usually found in *Vinifera* varieties, especially vines with excessive foliage or where vineyards are weedy or surrounded by corn, sorghum, Sudan grass, or related crops. Young vineyards

or nursery stock may be more seriously affected by borer injury than mature vines. The larvae vary in color, ranging from creamy to light gray to faint pink, with very small, round, dark brown spots on each segment and a dark-colored head capsule. After initially feeding on young leaves, larvae bore into canes. This weakens or kills shoots, especially when the larvae enter the middle or lower sections. Adult moths are a creamy yellowish-brown and approximately one inch long. Eggs are white and laid in masses resembling overlapping fish scales on the underside of leaves. Egg laying can occur in late May, late June to early July, or early August, depending on the genetic race of corn borer present. See the section on pest management schedules for minor insects (4.3) for pesticide recommendations and other comments.

EUROPEAN RED MITES are spider mites. Adult mites are small, dark red, and have eight legs. When viewed with a hand lens, the mites appear hairy because they have white spines called "setae." Nymphs range in color from pale to dark orange. Both adults and nymphs pierce the leaf cells and extract plant juices. This leads to the characteristic bronze coloration, which impairs the photosynthetic capacity of the leaf. Two-spotted spider mites are often found in mixed populations with European red mites. Two-spotted spider mites are light in color with two black spots on their backs. Vinifera and French hybrid varieties appear to be the most susceptible to infestations, although native varieties can also develop large densities under some conditions. Mites may be found on the upper or lower leaf surface. Four to nine generations occur in a season. Susceptible vineyards in production areas prone to damaging infestations should be monitored, starting at the bud break stage, for presence of this pest. Although problems can develop at any time after bud break, pay particular attention to the 1- to 4-inch growth stage and the postbloom period, especially after early July. Given a head start, the vine can tolerate a fair amount of feeding damage on lower leaves. Heavy mite infestations early in the season can cause stunted, chlorotic shoots with small leaves and pinpoint necrotic areas on leaves. Later in the season, as shoot growth rate declines and the vine allocates more resources to fruit, mites may also have an increased capacity to cause damage. Infestations can be severe on Long Island and in southeastern Pennsylvania vineyards. Serious infestations in the Finger Lakes region have occurred more frequently in recent years. Problems with spider mites in the Lake Erie region are uncommon. Predatory mites, when present in the vineyard at sufficient densities, can provide excellent biological control of spider mites. Recent research indicates that frequent use of mancozeb fungicides reduces predatory mite populations, although mancozeb use does not necessarily lead to mite problems.

of 21 days between applications. A new formulation of Avaunt, called Avaunt eVo (WDG), has recently been approved for use on grapes. It has similar uses as Avaunt and similar restrictions but it is reported to be easier to mix and handle. It will eventually fully replace Avaunt.

*BAYTHROID XL (beta-cyfluthrin) – RESTRICTED-USE

PESTICIDE – read the label **Signal word:** WARNING

Medical emergency: (800) 334-7577

Selected uses: leafhoppers, grape berry moth, minor

insects

Comments REI = 12 hrs, DTH = 3 days. This is a broad-spectrum insecticide in the same chemical class as fenpropathrin and bifenthrin. Harsh on natural enemies and bees as well as aquatic organisms. Maximum use allowed per 14-day interval is 3.2 fl. Ounces/A and maximum allowed per crop season is 12.8 fl. Ounces/A. *Tombstone Helios insecticide is a generic pyrethroid that contains cyfluthrin as its active ingredient.

BIOBIT, DIPEL, DELIVER, BT NOW, OTHERS

(biological insecticides, active ingredient – *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki*) – read the label

Signal word: CAUTION

Medical emergency: (800) 892-0099 (Biobit and Dipel), (800) 255-3924 (Deliver), (800) 222-1222 (BT NOW)

Selected use: grape berry moth

Comments: These Bt products are highly selective insecticides. Larvae must eat deposits of the insecticide to be affected. Close scouting with early attention to infestation is recommended. Apply when larvae are young. Thorough coverage is needed to provide a uniform deposit at the site of larval feeding. Larvae stop feeding after eating a lethal dose of the insecticide and will die within several days. Consult the label for information concerning active ingredient, application, and tank-mix compatibility.

*BRIGADE 2EC (bifenthrin) - RESTRICTED-USE

PESTICIDE – read the label Signal word: WARNING

Medical emergency: (800) 331-3148

Selected uses: leafhoppers, grape berry moth, minor

insects

Comments: REI = 12 hrs, DTH = 30 days. This is a broad-spectrum insecticide in the same chemical class as fenpropathrin and cyfluthrin. Replaces *Capture 2 EC. There is also a WSB formulation. Harsh on natural enemies and bees as well as aquatic organisms. Maximum use allowed per acre per season is 6.4 fl oz (.1 lb ai). [*Bifenture EC, *Bifenture 10DF, ^*Fanfare 2 EC, and *Sniper are generic products that have bifenthrin as active ingredient]

*BRIGADIER (bifenthrin + imidacloprid) –
RESTRICTED-USE PESTICIDE – read the label

Signal word: WARNING

Medical emergency: (800) 331-3148

Selected uses: leafhoppers, grape berry moth, minor

insects

Comments: REI = 12 hrs, DTH = 30 days. This insecticide combines two active ingredients. Imidacloprid is a narrower spectrum insecticide in the neonicotinoid group that is particularly effective against sucking insects such as leafhoppers. Bifenthrin is a broad-spectrum pyrethroid insecticide in the same chemical class as fenpropathrin and cyfluthrin. Harsh on natural enemies and bees as well as aquatic organisms. Maximum use allowed per acre per season is 12.8 oz of product (0.1 lb ai for bifenthrin and 0.1 lb ai for imidacloprid). *Swagger is a generic product that includes both these active ingredients.

^CLUTCH (clothianidin) – read the label

Signal word: CAUTION

Medical emergency: (800) 228-5635 Ext 174

Selected uses: Sucking insects

Comments: Not labeled for use in NY. This product is in the same chemical class as imidacloprid, although the different neonicotinoids differ somewhat in spectrum of activity. ^Clutch has activity against grape berry moth and Japanese beetle. No more than two applications per year are allowed. ^Clutch has a 0 day to harvest restriction and 12 hour REI.

*NY†CYCLANILIPROLE 50SL (cyclaniliprole) –

RESTRICTED-USE PESTICIDE IN NY - read the label

Signal word: CAUTION

Medical emergency: (888) 484-7546

Selected use: Lepidoptera, Japanese beetle, thrips,

spotted-wing drosophila

Comments: Not registered for use in Nassau and Suffolk Counties of New York State. REI = 4 hrs, DTH = 7 days. In New York, a 25 ft vegetated and non-cropped buffer untraversed by drainage tiles must be maintained between treatment area and lakes, rivers, and other bodies of water. Aerial application prohibited in NY. Foliar application is prohibited during flowering unless the rate is limited to 0.054 lb ai/A and application is made between 2 hours prior to sunset and 8 hours prior to sunrise. Cyclaniliprole is in the diamide group (IRAC group 28). Do not apply cyclaniliprole or other group 28 insecticides more than 3 times within a single generation of a pest. This is generally applied as a foliar insecticide. Strive to achieve thorough coverage. For best results, use in 100 to 150 gallons of water/A. For spotted wing drosophila, apply at the high label rate.

*DANITOL (fenpropathrin) – RESTRICTED-USE PESTICIDE – read the label

Table 4.2.1 Effectiveness of insecticides for management of grape insects and mites.

						Pe	ests						
Material	BGB	GBM	LH	GP	GCGL, JB	GCGR	GFB, CW	GE, RBLR	SB	SF	M	GR	RC
insecticidal soap (M-Pede)	?	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	?	0	0
imidacloprid (^Provado, *NY Admire Pro)	?	0	+++	++	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	+++	0
methoxyfenozide *NY†Intrepid	0	+++	0	0	0	0	0	?	0	0	0	0	0
phosmet (*NYImidan)	+++	+++	++	?	+++	+++	++	+++	++	+	0	?	++
spinetoram (Delegate)		+++	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	++	0	0	0
spinosad (Entrust)		++	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	+	0	0	0
spirotetramat (Movento)	0	0	0	+++	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0
thiamethoxam, chlorantraniliprole (*NY†Voliam Flexi)	?	+++	+++	++	++	?	++?	++?	?	++?	0	?	?
zeta-cypermethrin (*Mustang Maxx)	+++	+++	+++	?	+++	?	?	++	++	?	?	?	?
Key to pests:													
BGB = banded grape bug	CW =	cutworr	ns		GBM = g	grape berry	moth	GCG	L = gr	ape cane	gallm	aker	
GCGR = grape cane girdler	GE =	grapevin	ne epim	enis	$GFB = g_1$	rape flea b	eetle	GP =	grape	phyllox	era		
GR = grape rootworm	JB = 0	Japanese	beetle		LH = lea	fhoppers		$\mathbf{M} = 1$	mites				
RBLR = redbanded leafroller	RC =	rose cha	fer		SB = stee	ely beetle		SF =	8 spot	ted fores	ter		
Key to ratings: +++ = highly effective ++ =m * Federal restricted-use pesticide		y effectiv		labele					=not			ess not l	

^{*} Federal restricted-use pesticide; may be purchased and used only by certified applicators or used by someone under the direct supervision of a certified applicator.

Table 4.2.2 Insecticides for use in New York and Pennsylvania vineyards

Insecticide	IRAC Number ¹	Control method	Longevity	GBM	Leafhopper	Japanese Beetle	Toxic to Natural Enemies
Delegate	5	C, I	**	+++	+	+	Moderate
Spintor/Entrust	5	C, I	**	++	+	0	Moderate
Biobit, Dipel, Deliver, Javelin	11	I	*	++	0	0	Safe
*NY†Intrepid	18	I	****	+++	0	0	Safe
Movento	23	S, C, I	***		ra, mealybug, an midge control or		Moderate
*NY†Altacor	28	C, I	***	+++	0	+++	Moderate
*NY†Voliam Flexi	28 + 4A	S, C, I	****	+++	+++	+++	Moderate
*NY†Cyclaniliprole	28	C, I	***	+++	0	+++	Moderate
*NY†Verdepryn	28	C, I	***	+++	0	+++	Moderate
Sevin	1A	C	**	++	+++	+++	Toxic
*NYImidan	1B	С	***	+++	++	+++	Moderate
Avaunt	22A	C, I	**	++	+	++	Moderate

Table continued on next page.

^{*}NY Restricted-use pesticide in New York State

[†] Not for use in Nassau/Suffolk Counties

[^] Not registered for use in New York State at press time

5 Pest Management Schedules for Diseases and Major and Minor Insects

5.1 Introduction

This section provides guidelines pertaining to management programs for control of diseases and major insects in vineyards of New York and Pennsylvania. Although this section is organized along a phenological schedule to reflect important events during the growing season, there is no implication that every spray listed will be necessary. Rather, this is a schedule of the various times when individual diseases and insects <u>might</u> require that sprays be integrated into a management program; refer to the notes to

help determine which sprays are generally necessary and which ones apply only to certain conditions. Refer to the pictures in the front of this publication for help in identifying critical growth stages during the season. Note comments in right-hand column address precautions or considerations necessary for use of particular methods or materials. Be especially alert to the notations that certain chemicals may not be approved for your state or for certain growing areas within a state.

5.2 Pest Management Schedules for Diseases and Major Insects

Pest(s)		Materials	Rate per Acre	Comments
5.2.1 DORMAN	Γ			
Canker diseases (Eutypa, Botryosphaeria)		Mettle 1ME	5 fl oz	Mettle is labeled in all states for spray application in 25 to 50 gpa within 24 hr after pruning, with a 12-hr REI. Consult the label for further use directions. No trials have been conducted in NY or PA to evaluate the efficacy of Mettle for this purpose.
5.2.2 DELAYED	DOF	RMANT		
Soft scale insects and mealybugs		petroleum oil	2.5%	Apply early in the spring at bud swell but before any leaf tissue is exposed. Apply in 250 to 300 gallons of water. Thorough coverage is essential for good results. Avoid use with captan or sulfur due to phytotoxicity. Also avoid use within 24 hours before or after freezing temperatures. Field data indicate only short-term benefits for reducing mealybug populations. Oil more effective against soft scale.
	OR	Knack	16 fl oz	Only labeled for lecanium scale. Can use oil with Knack at this time (delayed dormant). Not to exceed 32 fl oz/A for season.
Anthracnose, black rot, Phomopsis, powdery mildew	OR	*NYMiller Lime Sulfur *NYSulforix	1 gal/10 gal water 1-2 gal	This spray is most likely to be beneficial on cultivars highly susceptible to anthracnose (e.g., Marquette, Reliance), where it can be important in blocks with a history of the disease, or in blocks where black rot and/or Phomopsis control is regularly problematic and conventional fungicides will not be used during the growing season. Otherwise, it is unlikely to be cost effective. THOROUGH coverage of the vines is essential for acceptable results. If practical, application to individual vines with a handgun or using some other system that minimizes loss of these expensive materials to non-grapevine surfaces (e.g., hooded-boom, recirculating sprayer such as the Lipco) is desirable. The low per-acre rate of *NYSulforix is unlikely to be effective unless loss to non-target surfaces is minimal. Use of more than 15 gal/A of *NYMiller Lime Sulfur is prohibitively expensive. For NYS users: note that *NYSulforix is only labeled for use against powdery mildew and Phomopsis and that*NYMiller Lime Sulfur is only labeled for control of Phomopsis, powdery mildew and anthracnose.

6 Vineyard Weed Management

6.1 Introduction

Weeds are part of the vineyard ecosystem. Weed management decisions are based on balancing the positive and negative aspects of weed growth in the vineyard. Weeds can compete for water and nutrients, reducing vine growth; contaminate mechanically harvested fruit; provide alternate hosts for vineyard pests; and interfere with vineyard operations. Weed growth can also alter the microclimate around vines, leading to higher disease pressure and increasing the risk of spring frost. Managing weed or cover crop growth in row middles can be a powerful tool for managing overly vigorous vines, minimizing erosion, and improving equipment access in wet seasons. Weed management practices can have negative impacts on grapes if those strategies cause direct damage to the vines.

This portion of the guide primarily addresses chemical methods to control weeds in vineyards. We have attempted to include all herbicides labeled for use in grapes even though some are not commonly used in eastern United States vineyards. Not all products or use patterns are labelled for use in each state or in every region of the same state. Registrations may change, so product users should always rely on the most up to date labels for use recommendations. Herbicides are listed in the sections, "preemergence herbicides," "postemergence herbicides," and "herbicides for nonbearing vineyards." Herbicides that are registered for vineyard use and may have applicability under specific circumstances are listed in the section, "specialty use herbicides."

Cultivation is sometimes used as a weed management tool in vineyards. Low vine size restricts productivity of own-rooted *Vitis labruscana* varieties such as 'Concord' that generally have shallow root systems. Effective herbicide use has been shown to increase vine size and subsequent yields as compared with under-the-row cultivation, but this may be less of a concern when deep-rooted rootstocks are used, when vines are overly vigorous, or when maximum yields are not desired. Under trellis mowing and growing cover crops under the row are currently being researched in New York and Virginia. These methods might also be considered where vine growth is overly vigorous.

Cultivation and organic mulches can also be used as tools for row middle management. Excessive cultivation can lead to undesirable consequences such as soil erosion, reduced soil organic matter, and breakdown in soil structure resulting in compaction and reduced permeability. Recently cultivated soil can restrict equipment mobility needed for critical vineyard operations such as timely pesticide applications and mechanical harvest. If cultivation is used for row middle management it is suggested that negative effects be limited by not cultivating more often than necessary to suppress weed growth, to shallow (1-2")

depths only, and with the goal of reducing, rather than eliminating, weed or cover crop growth. Fall planting of ryegrass or other cover crops can be used in conjunction with cultivation to provide winter cover. Organic mulches are most effective where soil moisture and fertility are low and where low vine size restricts vineyard productivity.

6.2 Resources

Several resources are available to aid in determining and addressing vineyard weed management goals. The concepts and tools for weed management are covered in the Cornell vineyard weed management fact sheets, listed below and at the back of this guide. They are available online at www.nysipm.cornell.edu/publications/grapeman/index.html (table of contents for Grape IPM in the Northeast), through Cornell Cooperative Extension offices.

The fact sheets in this series are:

- Choosing a weed management program, which discusses goals and management options, including cultivation, mowing, mulching, and the use of chemical weed control agents (herbicides);
- Chemical control of vineyard weeds, which discusses weed types, herbicide types, and factors to consider in using herbicides effectively;
- Pre-emergence herbicides, which discusses all the available residual herbicide options, including their behavior in soil, persistence, and means of loss from the soil:
- Post-emergence herbicides, which discusses available contact and systemic herbicides and how they affect plants and soil; and
- Managing vineyard floors using no-tillage, which discusses the reasons for avoiding tillage and practical factors to consider in using no-tillage as a weed management tool.

Additionally, *Weeds of the Northeast* is an excellent resource covering weed identification and aspects of weed biology and ecology that relate to weed management. *Weeds of the Northeast* is available through Cornell University Press and the Lake Erie Regional Grape Program office in Portland, NY. Another valuable resource is the *Manage Weeds on your Farm* e-book available at www.sare.org/resources/manage-weeds-on-your-farm/.

6.3 Effective Use of Herbicides

Repeated use of the same herbicides, or those with similar chemistry, can lead to a buildup of tolerant weeds, development of resistant biotypes, shifts in microbial communities that can facilitate rapid decomposition, which can reduce the length of time soil active herbicides are effective. Although herbicide options in vineyards are somewhat limited, weed management programs should not

most effective under cool, cloudy conditions when drying time is slow and uptake into target foliage is optimal.

Comments: *Gramoxone is a dangerous poison and can be fatal if swallowed or inhaled. It is harmful if absorbed through the skin and can cause substantial eye injury. Follow all label precautions when mixing and applying. In vineyards where chemical suckering is undesirable, avoid contact with suckers by making a directed application under appropriate environmental conditions or use shielded equipment. Tank mixes with appropriate preemergence herbicides are recommended for broadspectrum control and residual activity. Note that newer paraquat labels require: use only by certified applicators, the certified applicator to complete an EPA-approved paraquat training every 3 years, and use of closed transfer systems when using smaller containers. Growers using paraquat with older, still registered labels, can use the product according to the label on those containers.

MATRIX FNV (rimsulfuron) – read the label

Signal word: CAUTION

Medical emergency: (800) 441-3637

Selected uses: Primarily a pre-emergence product but will control many annual grasses and broadleaf weeds while they are small. Refer to Section 6.4 for additional information.

Comments: A FIFRA Section 2(ee) recommendation for unlabeled pest in New York State allowing to be used post-emergence to target hedge bindweed. 2(ee) recommendations for this use also available for the rimsulfuron-containing products Matrix FNV, Matrix SG, Pruvin, Grapple, Hinge, Solida, and Rimgro. Users must have a copy of the 2(ee) recommendation in their possession at the time of use. Ineffective on field bindweed. Refer to the recent Cornell identification guide for more details (https://hdl.handle.net/1813/70190).

POAST (sethoxydim) – read the label

Signal word: WARNING

Medical emergency: (800) 832-4357

Selected uses: Postemergence control of young annual grasses and suppression or control of perennial grasses in bearing and nonbearing vineyards, but not within 50 days of harvest. Will not control broadleave species.

Rate: Apply 1-2.5 pt per acre surface sprayed, depending on the grass species present. Add recommended adjuvant or crop oil concentrate at recommended rates. A maximum of 5 pt per acre sprayed can be applied annually.

Timing: Apply to actively growing grasses at recommended rates before they exceed the recommended growth stages on the label. (Examples: apply 1 pt per acre when foxtail species are less than 8 inches tall; apply 1.5 pt per acre when quackgrass is less than 8

inches tall.) Repeat treatments may be necessary for full season control. Control can be reduced when grasses are stressed because of high temperatures or drought conditions.

Comments: Spray to obtain thorough coverage of grass foliage, but not to runoff. Observe the 50-day preharvest interval.

†RELY 280 (glufosinate-ammonium) – read the label

Signal word: WARNING

Medical emergency: (800) 334-7577

Selected uses: Postemergence burndown of all weeds in new or established vineyards. Under 2(ee) recommendation, also for burndown of grapevine suckers up to 12 inches long. Do not apply to green or uncalloused stems. †RELY 280 IS NOT

REGISTERED FOR USE IN NASSAU AND SUFFOLK COUNTIES IN NEW YORK.

Rate: Apply 48 fluid ounces (0.88 lb. ai/acre) to 82 fluid ounces (1.5 lb. ai/acre) of product per acre. Consult the label for appropriate rate based on weed height and weed species present. Under the 2(ee) recommendation, for grapevine sucker control, two applications approximately 4 weeks apart at 56 fluid ounces (1.02 lb. ai/acre) are recommended when suckers are less than 12 inches long. Do not apply more than 246 fluid ounces product per acre per year. †Rely 280 should be applied in a minimum of 15 gallons of water per acre. Addition of ammonium sulfate can improve weed control

Comments: †Rely 280 is primarily a contact herbicide with limited systemic activity. Damage to grapevine tissue is generally localized to contacted areas (burning of suckers or shoot tips in the herbicide application zone). †Rely 280 controls most annual weeds and some biennials and perennials, including burdock, curly dock and wild onion species. Efficacy can be reduced under cool conditions or if weeds are stressed at application. Avoid direct spray or drift to desirable vegetation. Avoid contact with green bark on young vines as injury may occur. In vineyards where chemical suckering is undesirable, avoid contact with suckers by making a directed application under appropriate environmental conditions or use shielded equipment. Tank mixes with appropriate preemergence herbicides are recommended for broad-spectrum control and residual activity.

ROUNDUP (various formulations), others (glyphosate) – read the label

Signal word: CAUTION

Medical emergency: (314) 694-4000, (800) 327-8633

Selected uses: Postemergence systemic control of annual and perennial weeds in bearing and nonbearing vineyards where contact with green grapevine foliage can be avoided. Weeds should not be stressed at time of application to maximize control.

Table 6.7.1 Herbicides and their basic characteristics for New York and Pennsylvania vineyards. (Read the label for potential tank mixes and specific use, rate and timing of each product.)

					Application	n Timing	We	eds Cont	rolled	
Common Name	Herbicide Trade Name	(C)ontact or (S)ystemic	Young Vine Use	Non- bearing Only	Pre- emergent		Broad- leaves	Grasses	Broad Spectrum	Sucker Control
paraquat	*Gramoxone	С	X	-	_	X	-	-	X	X
pelargonic acid	Scythe	C	X	_		X	-	_	X	X
pendimethalin	^Pendimax 3.3EC	_	X	X	X	_	_	X	_	_
	Prowl 3.3 EC	_	X	X	X	_	_	X	_	_
	Prowl H ₂ O	_	_	_	X	_	_	X	_	_
rimsulfuron	Matrix	_	\mathbf{x}^{d}	_	X	X	-	-	X	_
simazine	*NY†Princep	_	_	_	X	_	X	_	_	_
trifluralin	Treflan	_	xe	_	X	_	_	X	_	_
trifluralin + isoxaben	^Snapshot	-	X	X	X	_	_	_	X	_

^{*} Federal restricted-use pesticide; may be purchased and used only by certified applicators or used by someone under the direct supervision of a certified applicator.

^{*}NY Restricted-use pesticide in New York State.

[†] Not for use in Nassau/Suffolk Counties

[^] Not registered for use in New York State at press time

^a Primarily contact, limited systemic activity

^b Note maximum annual use rate restriction for Long Island

^c Do not apply within 4 weeks of planting

^d Vines must be established one full growing season

^e Usually used pre-plant incorporated

7 Sprayer Technology

7.1 Solutions for Safer Spraying – Engineering Controls

Keeping pesticide exposure to a minimum should be a chief concern of any pesticide applicator. To reduce the risks associated with handling and applying pesticides, devices known as **engineering controls** can be used that help to reduce or practically eliminate exposure to hazardous chemicals.

7.1.1 Areas of Exposure

1. Loading the Sprayer

Closed transfer systems. Closed transfer systems allow concentrated pesticide to be moved from the original shipping container to the sprayer mix tank with minimal or no applicator contact. Many systems provide a method to measure the concentrated pesticide. Some systems also include a container rinsing system. Currently available closed transfer systems use a probe inserted into the pesticide container, a connector on the container that mates to a similar connector on the application equipment, or a vacuum-type (venturi) system that uses flowing water to transfer the chemical from the container.

Induction bowls. Induction bowls are metal, plastic or fiberglass hoppers attached to the side of the sprayer or the nurse tank that allow pesticides to be added to the mix tank without the applicator climbing onto the spray rig. Pesticides are poured into the bowl and water is added to flush out the bowl and carry the pesticide to the spray tank. Often a rinse nozzle is mounted inside the bowl for rinsing out empty pesticide containers. Typically, induction bowls are raised out of the way during spraying and lowered to about 3 feet above ground when the sprayer is being loaded.

Direct pesticide injection system. Direct pesticide injection systems allow pesticides to be mixed directly with water in the sprayer plumbing system rather than in the main spray tank. The pesticide is pumped from its container and mixed with the water either in a manifold or at the main water pump. Only clean water is held in the main tank of the sprayer. An electronic controller and up to four pumps adjust the amount of concentrated pesticide that is injected into the water stream, allowing for variable application rates.

Container rinse system. Container rinse systems consist of a rinse nozzle and a catch bowl that traps the container washings (rinsate). The empty container is placed over the rinse nozzle and a jet of water cleans the inside of the container. The rinsate caught in the bowl is pumped into the spray tank to be used along with the spray mixture. Often rinse nozzles are installed in chemical induction bowls. Most closed transfer systems also provide a way of rinsing containers and piping the rinse water into the spray tank.

2. Reducing Exposure at the Boom

Boom folding/extending. Manually folding booms can be a source of operator contamination because the boom can be covered with pesticide from drift or dripping nozzles. Consider the use of hydraulic or mechanical folding methods.

Diaphragm check valves. Typically, when a sprayer is shut off and as the system pressure drops, any liquid remaining in the boom piping drips from the nozzles, possibly dripping onto the boom or even the operator. Diaphragm check valves installed at each nozzle prevent this by using a spring-loaded rubber diaphragm to close off the flow of liquid once the system pressure drops below about 10 pounds per square inch. When the sprayer is switched on and system pressure builds up, the valve opens and allows the liquid to flow through the nozzles.

Multiple nozzle bodies. Contamination can occur when operators change or unclog nozzles during an application. Multiple nozzle bodies (or turret nozzles) allow operators to switch between nozzles with a turn of the nozzle body rather than having to unscrew or undo a threaded or bayonet fitting.

Hand wash water supply. Providing adequate wash water is essential (and often required). A simple container with a hand-operated valve can be mounted on the side of the sprayer to provide clean water for hand washing and personal hygiene.

3. Drift and Contaminated Clothing in Cabs

Cab filtration using carbon filters. Carbon filtration systems are used to remove pesticide odor and pesticide-laden mist from fresh air used in a tractor or self-propelled sprayer cab. Carbon filtration systems are often a standard feature on self-propelled sprayers. Many factory installed tractor cabs offer optional filtration systems.

Protective clothing lockers. To prevent contamination of the tractor or sprayer cab interior, protective clothing should be removed before entering the cab. A few sprayer companies offer a simple compartment (or locker) mounted to the side or front of the sprayer where protective clothing can be stored.

4. Controlling Drift

Low-drift nozzles. Low-drift nozzles create larger-size droplets than conventional nozzles. The larger droplet sizes are less prone to drift, reducing environmental and operator contamination.

Air induction (twin fluid) nozzles. These nozzles allow air to mix with the spray liquid, creating large, air-filled droplets that have virtually no fine, drift-prone droplets. The droplets explode when they contact their target and offer similar coverage to droplets from conventional, finer-spray nozzles.

- become more difficult to remove from the equipment. Consult the pesticide label for the proper mixing order.
- Follow any label instructions for cleaning spray equipment.
- Be sure that cleaning solutions contact ALL equipment surfaces.
- Remove and clean filters, strainers and nozzle screens separately from the rest of the sprayer.

7.11.2 Sprayer Cleansers

Several sprayer cleansers are commercially available. These cleansers should be selected based on the pesticide formulation used. Specific recommendations can be found on the pesticide label, by contacting the pesticide manufacturer or through the label or manufacturer of the cleaning agent you wish to use. Some available cleansers are listed in Table 7.11.3. Household detergents, such as laundry soaps and household ammonia, can also be used, but they may not adequately deactivate and solubilize the pesticides for effective cleaning. Chlorine bleach solutions should not be used. Cleaning agents can be used to wash both the inside and outside of the sprayer. When using commercial cleansers, follow the product's instructions for the best results.

Table 7.11.3. Commercially available sprayer cleansers

Product	Supplier
Protank	Winfield United
Cleaner	www.winfieldunited.com
All Clear Tank	Loveland Products
Decontam-	www.lovelandproducts.com
inator	
Wipe-Out XS	Helena Chemical Company
	helenaagri.com
AR-Chem Ag	Share Corp
ChemTitan	sharecorp.biz

7.11.3 Tank Rinse Systems (Low-Volume Tank Rinsing)

Tank rinse systems consist of a clean water supply tank mounted to the sprayer and one or more rotating discs or nozzles mounted inside the main sprayer tank. Water is pumped from the clean water tank to the rinse nozzles where the water is sprayed around the inside of the spray tank. These systems are designed for in-field rinsing of the sprayer so that the tank washings can be applied to the field and reduce the amount of time spent traveling.

A tank rinse system can be purchased as an option on some sprayers or as an add-on kit. Rinse systems can also be made from readily available parts and installed on the sprayer. A sample rinse system layout is shown in Figure 7.1.1. A typical rinse system uses 360-degree tank wash nozzles mounted in the top of the tank. These nozzles are available in flow rates of 10 gallons of water per minute at 20 psi up to 20 GPM at 50 psi. If a spray tank has baffles, at least one rinse nozzle per compartment should be provided. In any case, a sufficient number of rinse nozzles should be installed to provide enough rinse water to contact the entire tank interior.

A clean water tank can be plumbed into the sprayer plumbing system to provide the clean rinse water. This tank should be permanently marked "Clean Water Only" so that only clean water is placed in the tank, reducing the chance for contamination of the rinse system. The tank should be mounted above the pump in order to aid in priming the pump. Ideally, the tank should be mounted on the sprayer.

When using tank rinse systems, you may want to check the pesticide label or with the chemical manufacturer to be sure that low-volume rinsing is suitable for the products you're using. Also, during the rinse process, be sure to open and close the pressure valve and other control valves on the sprayer to ensure that any chemical that may be trapped in the valve is rinsed out, further reducing the chance for contamination of future pesticide mixes. To obtain the best results, practice using the rinse system by placing spray marker dye or food coloring in the spray tank. Using the rinse system, run three rinse cycles, making sure the water discharged from the nozzles is completely clear by the end of the third rinse.




Figure 7.11.1. Sample layout of a sprayer rinse system

8 Pesticides for New York and Pennsylvania Vineyards

8.1 Herbicides

Common Name	Trade Name	Formulation	WSSA Group Number (Resistance Management)	Days to Harvest	Restricted Entry Interval (REI)	EPA Reg. Number
carfentrazone-ethyl	Aim EC	EC	14	3	12	279-3241
clethodim	*NYSelect 2ECa	2EC	1	1 year	24 hr	59639-3-1381
	^Volunteer	2 EC	1	1 year	24 hr	59639-3-5546
	*NYSelect Max	0.97 EC	1	1 year	24 hr	59639-132
dichlobenil	Casoron 4G	4G	20	0	12 hr	400-168
	Casoron CS	1.4 L	20	0	12 hr	400-541
diuron	Direx 4L	4L	7	0	12 hr	66222-54
	Karmex DF	80 DF	7	0	12 hr	66222-51
fluazifop-P-butyl	†Fusilade DX	2EC	1	50	12 hr	100-1070
flumioxazin	Chateau SW	51 WDG	14	60	12 hr	59639-99
glufosinate- ammonium	†Rely 280	2.34 EC	10	14	12 hr	264-829
glyphosate	^Roundup Ultra	4L	9	14	4 hr	524-475
	^Touchdown Total, ^Traxion	4.17L	9	14	12 hr	100-1169
	^Roundup Ultramax	5L	9	14	4 hr	524-512
indaziflam	* ^{NY} †Alion	SC	29	14	12 hr	264-1106
isoxaben	^Gallery	75DF	21	1 year	12 hr	62719-145
napropamide	^Devrinol 50-DF	50DF	15	35	12 or 24 hr (see label)	70506-36
norflurazon	*NY†Solicam	80DF	12	60	12 hr	61842-41
oryzalin	Surflan	4AS	3	0	24 hr	70506-43
	Oryzalin	4AS	3	0	24 hr	66222-138
oxyfluorfen	Goal 2XL	2EC	14	b	24 hr	62719-424
	GoalTender	4 EC	14	b	24 hr	62719-447
paraquat	*Gramoxone SL 2.0	2L	22	0	24 hr	100-1431
pelargonic acid	Scythe	4.2EC	unclassified	0	12 hr	10163-325
pendimethalin	^Pendimax	3.3L	3	1 year	24 hr	68156-6-6271
	Prowl	3.3EC	3	1 year	24 hr	241-337
	Prowl H ₂ 0	3.8EC	3	21	24 hr	241-418
rimsulfuron	Matrix FNV	25DF	2	14	4 hr	352-671
sethoxydim	Poast	1.5EC	1	50	12 hr	7969-58
simazine	*NY†Princep Caliber 90 and others	90WDG	5	0	12 hr	100-603
	*NY†Princep 4L and others	4L	5	0	12 hr	100-526
trifluralin	Treflan and others	various	3	c	12 hr	^62719-250
trifluralin + isoxaben ^b	^Snapshot	2.5TG	3, 21	1 year	12 hr	62719-175
	use rate restriction for Long Is after bud swell	sland	c Apply and inco	rporate befo	re planting	
* Federal restricted-us *NY Restricted-use pestic			'		ffolk Counties in New York State	

²⁰²³ NEW YORK AND PENNSYLVANIA PEST MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES FOR GRAPES